DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 196 243 EC 131 393

AUTHOR	Krantz, Gordon C., Comp.; And Others
TITLE	Mentally Retarded People in State-Operated Residential Facilities: Year Ending June 30, 1979. Project Report No. 8.
INSTITUTION	Minnesota Univ., Minneapolis. Dept. of Psychoeducational Studies.
SPONS AGENCY	Rehabilitation Services Administration (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Div. of Developmental Disabilities.
PUB DATE	Dec 79
GRANT	54-P-71173/5-04
NOTE	64p.
AVAILABLE FROM	Developmental Disabilities Project, 207 Pattee Hall, University of Minnesota, 150 Pillsbury Dr., S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455 ($2.00)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Costs; Exceptional Child Research; *Institutionalized Persons; *Mental Retardation; *Residential Programs; Statistical Data; Surveys

ABSTRACT

Data from a nationwide survey of mentally retarded people in state operated residential institutions are presented. Findings are listed for 22 aspects, including number of facilities, average daily residents, new admissions, readmissions during the year, deaths during the year, per diem cost, admissions ratios, termination ratios, net population change, and general population base of facilities. Among overall findings are a 27% decrease in numbers of institutionalized persons from 1970 to 1978, and a varied turnover rate as measured by admissions and releases. (CL)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED196243

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES PROJECT
ON RESIDENTIAL SERVICES
AND COMMUNITY ADJUSTMENT

Project Report No. 8

Mentally Retarded People In State-Operated Residential Facilities:

Year Ending June 30, 1979

compiled by

Gordon C. Krantz
Robert H. Bruininks
Jane L. Clumpner

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Florence Hauber

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
Department of Psychoeducational Studies
Minneapolis

EC131393

The Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment is conducting a nationwide study of mentally retarded persons in residential programs. Information is being collected on (a) the administrative and general characteristics of residential programs for mentally retarded individuals, (b) the behavioral and physical characteristics of mentally retarded people in residential programs, and (c) factors related to admission of former residents of state residential facilities to community residential settings.

The Project is supported by a grant (54-P-71173/5-04) from the Bureau of Developmental Disabilities, Rehabilitation Services Administration, Office of Human Development Services, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under governmental sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgement in the conduct of the project. Points of view and opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official positions of the Bureau of Developmental Disabilities.

The University of Minnesota is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to its programs, facilities, and employment without regard to race, creed, color, sex, national origin, or handicap.

MENTALLY RETARDED PEOPLE IN STATE-OPERATED RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES:

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1979

compiled by

Gordon C. Krantz, Ph. D.
Robert H. Bruininks, Ph. D.
Jane L. Clumpner, B. A.

Department of Psychoeducational Studies
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455

December 1979

This is Project Report No. 8 of the Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment, Robert H. Bruininks, Project Director

The recommended citation of this publication is:
Krantz, G., Bruininks, R., & Clumpner, J. Mentally Retarded People in State-Operated Residential Facilities: Year Ending June 30, 1979. *Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Department of Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.*

Additional copies of this publication may be obtained postpaid by sending the request, and a check or purchase order for $2.00 payable to the University of Minnesota, to:

Developmental Disabilities Project
207 Pattee Hall
University of Minnesota
150 Pilsbury Drive S.E.
Minneapolis, MN 55455

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This year's report, as was that of last year, is made possible by the interest and cooperation of the many people in the several states who acquired the data and patiently responded to our requests. Many of these people bear a title which is a variant of Coordinator of Mental Retardation Programs, and many others are data managers.

We are especially appreciative of the cooperation given to us by these people during a year that has been difficult for them. New, electronic data management systems are being emplaced by some states and, while they give promise of easier response in the future, their first year of operation is usually beset with problems. A few states that had at least semi-operational computer systems have experienced loss of funds for their operation in a time of restricted resources and they have consequently lost some of their ability to respond. Perhaps most significantly, almost every state data system on mental retardation services is now under heavy load from the states' need to respond to inquiries from legislative bodies and program managers who have come to see the usefulness of the data, and to the requirements of result-oriented accountability and of class action suits. In this set of circumstances, our inquiry must appear as one more burden upon already-overloaded data retrievers. We are grateful for the responses that we have received.

We hope that our return of the information, in the form of this report, will be partial compensation to those who enabled it. We also hope that the report can serve as a further contribution to an understanding of the status and events in state-operated residential services for mentally retarded people.

GCK, Project Scientist
RHB, Project Director
JLC, Research Assistant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments . . . . . .opposite

List of Tables. . . . . .overleaf

Introduction. 1

Procedures and Sources of Data. 3

Findings, Including Tabulated Data. 7

Discussion. 53

Appendix A: Survey Form and Cover Letter 55

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number and Short Title

1. Number of Facilities 9
2. Residents Beginning of Year. 11
3. Average Daily Residents. 13
4. Residents End of Year. 15
5. New Admissions During Year 17
6. Readmissions During Year 19
7. Live Releases During Year. 21
8. Deaths During Year 23
9. Per Diem Cost. 25
10. Admissions Ratios, PRF/MR. 27
11. Admissions Ratios, PRF/Other 29
12. Admissions Ratios, All Facilities. 31
13. Termination Ratios, PRF/MR 33
14. Termination Ratios, PRF/Other. 35
15. Termination Ratios, All Facilities 37
16. Net Population Change During Year. 39
17. Net Population Change Ratios 41
18. Per Capita Residents 43
19. Residents per Facility at Beginning of Year. . . . 45
20. Average ADR per Facility During Year 47
21. Residents per Facility at End of Year. 49
22. General Population Base of Facilities. 51

INTRODUCTION

This is the second publication in this series of annual reports, the first having reported the information for the year previous. The major purpose of this publication is to present the basic data on mentally retarded people who were served in state-operated residential facilities in the United States during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

Each term in the report title is intended to mean something specific. This is a tally of people, starting with what is known by each state through its information system. The people are mentally retarded, in the particular way that is defined below. The people were residents of facilities that were operated directly by the states during one year, the year ending on June 30, 1978. A distinction is made, as is noted below, between two types of facility.

The meaning of each item of data in this report is best understood in reference to the question that was asked of the states in order to obtain the data item. Appendix A contains the survey questionnaire that was used, and each question is quoted in the text opposite the table of data that was generated from it. Beyond this, the following definitions underlie this report.

> "Mentally retarded people" in this context are those who have been officially so designated by their respective state governments in the process of placing them into residential service. The formal definition has changed over the years since some of the older residents first entered the facilities, but the currently accepted definition is that of the American Association of Mental Deficiency: "Mental Retardation refers to significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning existing concurrently with deficits in adaptive behavior, and manifested during the developmental period" (Grossman, 1973, p. 11). As a practical matter, it is also evident that "...mental retardation alone is not a sufficient explanation for institutionalization. The mentally retarded individual must present some additional problems to either the family or the community which designate him as superfluous or threatening to social relationships" (Farber, 1968, p. 197). The definition that opens this paragraph, and that refers to an official act rather than to some essence of the persons alone, is therefore the one that is useful to this report.
>
> "State-operated residential facilities," although fairly self-defined and structured in its meaning by the nature of the

survey reported here, has some important distinctions of its own. The "residential facility" portion of the term reflects the fact that they are places where people live; facilities that only give day or outpatient services are excluded. "State-operated" is denoted by the fact that the employees of the facility are employees of the state, and are not employed by a contractor or vendor of service to the state. The term excludes those public facilities that are operated by such public bodies as counties and municipalities; consequently, the term is a slight restriction of "public residential facility (PRF)", although "PRF" is used in the table headings of this report as a handy approximation.

"PRF/MR" is used in this report to designate a state-operated (public, in a slightly restricted sense) residential facility which is designated exclusively or primarily to serve mentally retarded people. It is a term that corresponds closely to its historic usage (for example, see Scheerenberger, 1976), except that it is used in contradistinction to the term which is defined in the next paragraph.

"PRF/other" is used in this report to designate a state-operated (public) residential facility, but one which as a whole is not designated exclusively or primarily to serve mentally retarded people. Thus, a state hospital for the mentally ill, even if it has a special and perhaps even a large unit set aside for the management of mentally retarded people, is classified in this report as "PRF/other."

The classes of data that were chosen for acquisition and compilation in this report are those that enumerate the very basic facts about the numbers and movement of mentally retarded people in state-operated residential facilities; loosely, of the people who are generally referred to as being institutionalized. The public policy of deinstitutionalization incorporates institutional reform, of course, so that the meaning of being institutionalized has undergone some change, but this is still a distinguishable population.

It is the purpose of this report to contribute information relating to this era of institutional change.

PROCEDURES AND SOURCES OF DATA

This compilation was made as a report of the Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment. The Project is administered by the Department of Psychoeducational Studies of the University of Minnesota and is funded in part by a grant (54-P-71173/05-4) from the US Bureau of Developmental Disabilities, Rehabilitation Services Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

The Project has engaged in a number of related studies. One set of studies concerns the several thousand non-public residential facilities for the mentally retarded in the United States. Another set of Project studies is concerned with public residential facilities (PRFs) for the mentally retarded; the compilation given in this report is one of those studies.

In the course of these activities, the Project has examined the available national sources of information about public residential services. This examination has led to several observations and conclusions:

In the years since the previously mentioned Mental Retardation Sourcebook (US, DHEW, 1972), no complete and federally sponsored tally has been made of mentally retarded people in public (state) residential facilities, giving state-by-state data, other than this project's report of 1977-78.

The nationwide data cannot be retrieved from national sources of information. There is no central, national authority to which the states must routinely report their data regarding residential services to mentally retarded people.

In significant numbers, mentally retarded people are given residential service by many states in facilities that are not designed exclusively or even primarily for the mentally retarded. Some of these facilities are designed to be generic, and others serve mentally retarded people in units contained within the larger facility. This fact may or may not represent a breakdown of the concept of "institutions for the mentally retarded," but it definitely is slightly different from the more traditional concept.

Residential service to mentally retarded people is, within the US constitutional framework, basically a state responsibility. The states may discharge the responsibility with different mixes of

direct and regulated services, but their operation of residential facilities as such is a clear-cut and definable operation.

Certain common, basic data are essential to the managers of each state's program of residential service for the mentally retarded. These data relate to numbers of people in residence, movement into and out of residence, and operating costs. They are such that a given state needs to have them on hand for rational program management. They are usually known within the state's management in reasonably current form.

Within each state, someone or some agency has been designated as responsible for managing the program of state-operated residential facilities for mentally retarded people. The organizational form and the titles may vary from state to state but one or more responsible persons can be located. In particular, these persons or agencies are likely to be informed as to the basic data that they need to manage a rational program, and those data are the ones of interest here.

On the basis of that analysis of the situation, together with the experience of gathering and reporting information on the year 1977-78, plans were made by the Project to conduct the present survey and report.

A questionnaire was used (see Appendix A) to elicit the basic data that seemed to be most useful and feasible of acquisition. The questionnaire asked for these items separately for facilities that are designated exclusively or primarily to serve the mentally retarded (PRF/MRs) and for facilities that are designed primarily to serve other populations (PRF/others). Data were sought in reference to residential facilities only, and only those that were directly operated by the states. The data items chosen were:

numbers of facilities of each type;

mentally retarded residents at the beginning of the immediately-past fiscal year, on July 1, 1978;

average daily number of such residents during the year;

mentally retarded residents at the end of the year, on June 30, 1979;

number of new admissions during the year;

number of previously institutionalized mentally retarded people who were readmitted during the year;

number of deaths while on facility rolls during the year; and

average daily cost of maintaining a mentally retarded person in each type of residence during the year (per diem cost).

The questionnaire was intended to provide a clear definition of the requested datum within each separate question, and to clearly differentiate the two types of facility. Except for minor refinements, the questions were identical with those of the previous year's survey.

In order to contact the appropriate official or agency within each state, a mailing list was prepared for the survey, drawing upon the list of persons who were known to be affiliated with the National Association of Coordinators of State Programs for the Mentally Retarded. In states where some ambiguity was evident, the executive officer of the state Developmental Disabilities Council was added to the list. This constituted the initial mailing list for the survey, and it was augmented and refined during the survey as the result of mail and telephone contacts over a period of a year and a half.

A letter was prepared to accompany the survey form (see Appendix A) and the two documents were mailed on July 1, 1979

In August, telephone follow-up was begun. Calls were made to those who had responded (for expression of thanks and for verification of provided data, and to obtain the names of the facilities reported on) as well as to those who had not yet responded (to refine the contact list, locate knowledgeable officials, and to encourage response).

The data which resulted from the initial survey and telephone follow-up were drafted into a report, clearly marked as a draft for correction, and sent to the state respondents in September. The correction and new responses followed from this mailing.

Meanwhile, telephone contact continued. Acquisition of data was closed off in November. The result was the degree of completeness which is reflected in this report, with data from all states and the District of Columbia for most items regarding PRF/MRs and from most states for the items regarding PRF/others.

NOTES:
QUALIFICATIONS TO REPORT, BY STATE

ALABAMA: Some clients with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation are listed as mentally ill in the data collection efforts by the state after January 1975. Prior to this date, reported client totals were reported somewhat differently.

ARIZONA: The two PRF/other facilities are each separate MR units within state hospitals; both units' populations are declining as clients enter community residential alternatives.

CALIFORNIA: Although figures in this report are not separated by first admission vs. readmission for the nine PRF/MRs, the state notes the admission figure totals 522. The regional centers are private nonprofit corporations chartered by the state, here treated as being state-operated. The facilities are all classified as PRF/MR because, on those four of the eight sites that primarily serve the mentally ill (state-operated hospitals), the services to mentally retarded clients are under programmatic administration of the separate state agencies for developmental services. With respect to the PRF/others, the figures include all residents admitted to state hospitals for the developmentally disabled, without reference to primary diagnosis or level of retardation.

CONNECTICUT: Clients who are discharged from a state-operated facility and readmitted at a later date are considered separate entries on records, as is the case for several states. The three major mental health facilities, PRF/others, have all-inclusive daily rates for treated patients, regardless of the primary diagnosis.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: St. Elizabeth's Hospital has not been classified and listed as a PRF/other in this report because it serves no clients with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, although it admits people with an "underlying diagnosis" of mental retardation.

FLORIDA: A number of mentally retarded clients have been placed in mental health facilities (including state-operated hospitals) over the years. Figures are not available on retarded clients of these facilities, but the state is engaged in efforts to rediagnose clients. Those so defined will be moved from the mental health facilities.

GEORGIA: Per diem costs are not reported for Question 9 because the billing system has been changed and is not operable in producing summarized figures. The Georgia Regional Center in Athens was excluded from the report because the residents who live there do so because distance from their homes precludes daily commuting, not because of residential needs.

INDIANA: Per diem cost for the PRF/MRs excludes depreciation, while per diem cost for the PRF/others includes depreciation, but not education. The per diem cost reflects the cost of care for an average patient, regardless of diagnosis, in the hospitals.

KENTUCKY: The PRF/other per diem cost reflects the per diem cost averaged for all clients, as is typical for the PRF/other costs in several states where the cost for retarded clients cannot be separated from the costs for other clients.

MAINE: For the Aroostook facility, some winter residents move home for the summer. Others enter for respite care only in July and August. The low figures may reflect the time between movements.

Notes continued on page 52

FINDINGS

The data that were reported by the 51 states, with the District of Columbia treated here as a state, are shown in Tables 1 through 22.

The first nine tables give direct reports of the raw data, in the order listed on page 4 of this report and as requested on the survey form. The remaining thirteen tables were calculated from the reported data and, in the case of Tables 18 and 22, with the use of census figures.

The tabular subheads refer to "PRF/MR" and "PRF/other." These are space-saving references to, respectively, state-operated (public) residential facilities that are designed exclusively or primarily to serve the mentally retarded (PRF/MR), and state-operated (public) residential facilities of other kinds that house significant numbers of people whose primary diagnosis is mental retardation (PRF/other).

On the left-hand page that faces each table is a text that refers to that table. Because the questions on the survey form were designed to each call for specific information, to be interpreted literally, and to be self-contained, the actual eliciting questions are quoted in discussing the information in Tables 1 through 9.

On the left-hand page that faces this one is a series of notes that qualify the information that relates to each of several states. The states with these notations have special circumstances that affect the interpretation of their data.

NUMBER OF FACILITIES

Each state was furnished with a list, obtained by the previous year's survey and refined by a special secondary survey, of the facilities it had reported. Table 1 was generated in response to the question, "*See attached list, which gives our latest information as to the number of each kind of facility operated by your state in 1978-79. Please correct our number and list, as given here, by crossing off incorrect information and writing in the correction.*"

The second part of the question was included because previous surveys had had difficulty with defining separate facilities in a standard way, whereas each state seemed to have no special difficulty with the classification. Each facility that was tallied in response to Question 1 was checked against the state's report of its name and location.

Like the other eight questions of the survey, Question 1 was divided into two subquestions. The first subquestion asked for the number of "*facilities, operated by the state, that are designated to be primarily residential facilities to serve the mentally retarded.*" Telephone follow-up, which was made with every state, clarified this to mean a facility whose employees were on the state payroll. The identity of the facilities was checked against a current listing provided by the Project.

The second half of Question 1 asked for the number of "*facilities operated by the state as mental hospitals or as any other residential facility but which each serve at least ten residents who have a primary diagnosis of mental retardation. Do not count penal or correctional facilities.*" The use of the word "residents" seemed to effectively exclude outpatient facilities, according to the telephone contacts. Penal institutions were excluded because incarceration is not management of mental retardation per se. The primary diagnosis of mental retardation was intended to exclude people who are being treated for mental illnesses who might have a secondary diagnosis of mental retardation.

(A Directory of these 256 facilities is separately published by and available from the Project. See list of available publications, page 59 of this report.)

Table 1

Number of Facilities: Number of state-operated facilities which provided residential services to persons whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation; by state; for year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	25	13	38
CONNECTICUT	10	2	12
MAINE	3	NA	3
MASSACHUSETTS	8	8	16
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1	1	2
RHODE ISLAND	1	2	3
VERMONT	2	NA	2
REGION II	29	26	55
NEW JERSEY	8	NA	8
NEW YORK	21	26	47
REGION III	30	22	52
DELAWARE	1	NA	1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1	NA	1
MARYLAND	9	5	14
PENNSYLVANIA	12	7	19
VIRGINIA	5	6	11
WEST VIRGINIA	2	4	6
REGION IV	33	25	58
ALABAMA	4	NA	4
FLORIDA	5	5	12
GEORGIA	2	8	10
KENTUCKY	4	3	7
MISSISSIPPI	5	NA	5
NORTH CAROLINA	4	4	8
SOUTH CAROLINA	4	NA	4
TENNESSEE	4	4	8
REGION V	44	25	69
ILLINOIS	11	9	20
INDIANA	5	5	10
MICHIGAN	12	NA	12
MINNESOTA	3	4	7
OHIO	10	4	14
WISCONSIN	3	3	6
REGION VI	32	9	41
ARKANSAS	6	2	8
LOUISIANA	8	2	10
NEW MEXICO	2	1	3
OKLAHOMA	3	NA	3
TEXAS	13	4	17
REGION VII	23	8	31
IOWA	2	NA	2
KANSAS	4	1	5
MISSOURI	16	5	21
NEBRASKA	1	2	3
REGION VIII	12	5	17
COLORADO	3	NA	3
MONTANA	3	2	5
NORTH DAKOTA	2	1	3
SOUTH DAKOTA	2	1	3
UTAH	1	NA	1
WYOMING	1	1	2
REGION IX	15	2	17
ARIZONA	3	2	5
CALIFORNIA	9	NA	9
HAWAII	1	NA	1
NEVADA	2	NA	2
REGION X	13	NA	13
ALASKA	1	NA	1
IDAHO	1	NA	1
OREGON	2	NA	2
WASHINGTON	9	NS	9
UNITED STATES	256	135	391

RESIDENTS BEGINNING OF YEAR

Table 2 gives the number of residents in each of the two types of state-operated residential facilities at the beginning of the fiscal year. The numbers are in response to the question, "*How many mentally retarded persons were resident in these kinds of facilities on July, 1978 (a year ago)? Include all who were carried on the facilities' rolls, even if they were on leave or otherwise off site or were on a trial placement that had lasted less than a year. We have penciled in our latest information--please correct as necessary.*"

The second sentence of the question was stated in recognition of the fact that actual release from a facility roll may take place some time after the resident has physically moved to the community, with the facility carrying responsibility for return and with the facility still showing the person as an official resident "on the books."

The first subquestion again asked in reference to "*state-operated residential facilities that are designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded.*" The second subquestion asked in reference to "*people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were on the rolls of other state residential facilities.*" The respondents were referred to their answers to Question 1 in order to distinguish the two types of institution. Telephone contacts seemed to indicate that there was no confusion as to the meaning of the two numbers requested, here or in subsequent questions.

On the other hand, a few states had difficulty in locating the information on mentally retarded people in "other state residential facilities." This was often so because two quite different units of state government had jurisdiction over the two types of facility, and the two units had not developed routine channels for exchange of information.

Some states reported that they have a clear and monitored policy that prevents placement of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation into state facilities that are designed for other populations. They are reported in Table 2 (and subsequently in this report) as NA = Not Applicable, meaning that the data category does not apply to that state. The designation of NA is also used for states that reported no, or fewer than 10, mentally retarded residents in PRF/other.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 2

Residents Beginning of Year: Number of persons with primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were resident in state facilities on 7/1/78.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	10,511	1,106	11,617
CONNECTICUT	2,957	123	3,080
MAINE	519	NA	519
MASSACHUSETTS	4,810	781	5,591
NEW HAMPSHIRE	727	67	794
RHODE ISLAND	742	135	877
VERMONT	756	NA	756
REGION II	24,423	1,694	26,117
NEW JERSEY	7,893	NA	7,893
NEW YORK	16,530	1,694	18,224
REGION III	18,314	1,881	20,195
DELAWARE	537	NA	537
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1,035	NA	1,035
MARYLAND	3,233	295	3,528
PENNSYLVANIA	9,103	518	9,621
VIRGINIA	3,963	418	4,381
WEST VIRGINIA	443	650	1,093
REGION IV	19,003	2,720	21,723
ALABAMA	1,826	NA	1,826
FLORIDA	4,247	363	4,610
GEORGIA	1,515	1,219	2,734
KENTUCKY	943	223	1,166
MISSISSIPPI	1,832	NA	1,832
NORTH CAROLINA	3,416	525	3,941
SOUTH CAROLINA	3,147	NA	3,147
TENNESSEE	2,077	390	2,467
REGION V	25,217	2,527	27,744
ILLINOIS	6,199	476	6,675
INDIANA	2,816	533	3,349
MICHIGAN	5,833	NA	5,833
MINNESOTA	1,884	948	2,832
OHIO	6,426	436	6,862
WISCONSIN	2,059	134	2,193
REGION VI	19,217	535+	19,752+
ARKANSAS	1,775	308	2,083
LOUISIANA	3,175	DNF	3,175+
NEW MEXICO	542	52	594
OKLAHOMA	1,957	NA	1,957
TEXAS	11,768	175	11,943
REGION VII	6,657	411	7,068
IOWA	2,014	NA	2,014
KANSAS	1,348	22	1,370
MISSOURI	2,487	334	2,821
NEBRASKA	808	55	863
REGION VIII	5,021	101 est	5,122
COLORADO	1,416	NA	1,416
MONTANA	329	16	345
NORTH DAKOTA	1,148	50	1,198
SOUTH DAKOTA	759	20 est	779 est
UTAH	850	NA	850
WYOMING	519	15	534
REGION IX	11,046	40	11,086
ARIZONA	784	40	824
CALIFORNIA	9,641	NA	9,641
HAWAII	478	NA	478
NEVADA	143	NA	143
REGION X	4,690	NA est	4,690 est
ALASKA	95	NA	95
IDAHO	421	NA	421
OREGON	1,795	NA	1,796
WASHINGTON	2,378	NA	2,378
UNITED STATES	144,099	11,015+	155,114+

AVERAGE DAILY RESIDENTS

Table 3 reports the average number of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were resident in the state-operated residential facilities during the fiscal year. This average is the most representative measure of the number of people physically present in the facilities, rather than the number on any given date. It is the set of figures that was used in the calculation of Tables 18 and 20 of this report.

The question which was asked was, *"What was the average daily resident population in these facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979?"*

All states were able to report this datum for residents of facilities that are designed exclusively or primarily for the mentally retarded (PRF/MRs). Some states were able to give only estimates. Specific figures, reported to be direct and official tallies, were given by the remaining states. This figure, average daily membership (ADM) or average daily residents (ADR), is one that each state uses in planning, budgeting, and managing its residential affairs.

The second half of this survey question, which asked regarding persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were resident in state-operated facilities designed primarily for other populations, was more difficult for some states to answer. In many states, an agency other than the one initially contacted by the Project has responsibility for facilities that do not primarily serve the mentally retarded, and sometimes such persons are not officially "tracked." For states where "NA" is entered in Table 3, the meaning is "zero" because those states reported that they had no significant numbers of mentally retarded persons in PRF/other placement.

To obtain the United States total for all facilities, the "NC" entries were counted as the PRF/MR figures with a "+" added to indicate that it is a minimum figure and that there is an additional unknown quantity which is represented by the "DNF" that is not zero in the "PRF/other" column. The figures that are followed by a "+" are to be treated as underestimates.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 3

Average Daily Residents: Average daily resident population of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation in public residential facilities; fiscal year 1978-79.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	9,761	677 est	10,438 est
CONNECTICUT	2,950	115 est	3,065 est
MAINE	475	NA	475
MASSACHUSETTS	4,635	400 est	5,035 est
NEW HAMPSHIRE	600	42 est	642 est
RHODE ISLAND	699	120 est	819
VERMONT	402	NA	402
REGION II	23,722	1,505	25,227
NEW JERSEY	7,814	NA	7,814
NEW YORK	15,908	1,505	17,413
REGION III	15,548	1,039+	16,587+
DELAWARE	520	NA	520
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	885	NA	885
MARYLAND	2,571	275 est	2,846
PENNSYLVANIA	7,505	478	7,983
VIRGINIA	3,701	DNF	3,701
WEST VIRGINIA	366	286	652
REGION IV	18,122	2,415+	20,537+
ALABAMA	1,650	NA	1,650
FLORIDA	4,044	310 est	4,354 est
GEORGIA	1,482	1,211	2,693
KENTUCKY	895	55 est	950 est
MISSISSIPPI	1,855	NA	1,855
NORTH CAROLINA	3,162	473 est	3,635
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,967	NA	2,967
TENNESSEE	2,067	366	2,433
REGION V	21,586 est	2,166 est	23,752 est
ILLINOIS	6,002	449	6,451
INDIANA	2,332	358 est	2,690 est
MICHIGAN	5,586	NA	5,586
MINNESOTA	1,856	924	2,780
OHIO	5,532	423	5,955
WISCONSIN	509	12	521
REGION VI	17,004 est	634+	17,638+ est
ARKANSAS	1,364	290	1,654
LOUISIANA	2,958	DNF	2,958+
NEW MEXICO	505	40 est	545 est
OKLAHOMA	1,940 est	NA	1,940 est
TEXAS	10,237	304	10,541
REGION VII	5,737	365	6,102
IOWA	1,351	NA	1,351
KANSAS	1,350	28	1,378
MISSOURI	2,281	287	2,568
NEBRASKA	755	50	805
REGION VIII	4,933	92 est	5,025
COLORADO	1,404	NA	1,404
MONTANA	303	12	315
NORTH DAKOTA	1,174	47	1,221
SOUTH DAKOTA	726	20 est	746 est
UTAH	859	NA	859
WYOMING	467	13 est	480 est
REGION IX	10,449	86	10,535
ARIZONA	748	86	834
CALIFORNIA	9,119	NA	9,119
HAWAII	441	NA	441
NEVADA	141	NA	141
REGION X	4,566	NA	4,566
ALASKA	93	NA	93
IDAHO	390	NA	390
OREGON	1,734	NA	1,734
WASHINGTON	2,349	NA	2,349
UNITED STATES	131,428 est	8,979+	140,407+

RESIDENTS END OF YEAR

Table 4 reports the number of residents at the end of the fiscal year. The question was, *"How many mentally retarded people were residents in these kinds of facilities on June 30, 1979 (this year)? Include all who were carried on the facilities' rolls, even if they were on leave or otherwise off site or were on trial placement that had lasted less than one year."* The phraseology of the question was intended to parallel exactly that of Question 2, which has asked for comparable figures at the start of the fiscal year.

The subquestions, as usual, requested separate figures for the two types of facility. A few states had some difficulty in responding to this regarding the "other" facilities, evidently because the inquiry was made so soon after the date of tally, and intrastate communication channels require some time to operate.

See Tables 16 and 17 for comparison of resident numbers at the beginning and at the end of the fiscal year. Here, it might be noted that the United States totals all show a net population decrease in these facilities between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979. The decrease in mentally retarded population in the reported PRF/others, while large proportionate to the total in that type of facility, is submerged in the totals for all facilities, because nearly of the total population is reported from PRF/MRs.

One should also note that the populations at the end of the year, while smaller than those at the beginning of the year, are still larger than is the average daily population. The main reason for the lower Average Daily Residents figure is that it is intended to count only those persons who are on site on the average day.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 4

Residents, End of Year: Number of persons with primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were resident in public residential facilities on 6/30/79.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	10,266	261+	10,527 est
CONNECTICUT	2,944	106	3,050
MAINE	485	NA	485
MASSACHUSETTS	4,696	DNF	4,696+
NEW HAMPSHIRE	699	45 est	744 est
RHODE ISLAND	716	110	826
VERMONT	726	NA	726
REGION II	26,241	1,435	27,676
NEW JERSEY	7,695	NA	7,695
NEW YORK	18,546	1,435	19,981
REGION III	17,227	1,390	18,617
DELAWARE	518	NA	518
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1,006	NA	1,006
MARYLAND	3,151	266	3,417
PENNSYLVANIA	8,512	519	9,031
VIRGINIA	3,846	376	4,222
WEST VIRGINIA	194	229	423
REGION IV	18,630	2,405	21,035
ALABAMA	1,827	NA	1,827
FLORIDA	4,052	244	4,296
GEORGIA	1,443	1,164	2,607
KENTUCKY	960	174	1,134
MISSISSIPPI	1,836	NA	1,836
NORTH CAROLINA	3,314	481	3,795
SOUTH CAROLINA	3,154	NA	3,154
TENNESSEE	2,044	342	2,386
REGION V	23,963 est	2,496 est	26,459 est
ILLINOIS	6,081	456	6,537
INDIANA	2,742 est	543 est	3,285 est
MICHIGAN	5,363	NA	5,363
MINNESOTA	1,829	925	2,754
OHIO	5,894	488	6,382
WISCONSIN	2,054	84	2,138
REGION VI	18,798	659+	19,457+
ARKANSAS	1,704	291	1,995
LOUISIANA	3,289	DNF	3,289+
NEW MEXICO	538	41	579
OKLAHOMA	1,933	NA	1,933
TEXAS	11,334	327	11,661
REGION VII	6,402	380	6,782
IOWA	1,944	NA	1,944
KANSAS	1,317	30	1,347
MISSOURI	2,415	300	2,715
NEBRASKA	726	50	776
REGION VIII	4,818	95	4,913
COLORADO	1,392	NA	1,392
MONTANA	315	14	329
NORTH DAKOTA	1,148	46	1,125
SOUTH DAKOTA	702	20 est	722 est
UTAH	863	NA	863
WYOMING	467	15	482
REGION IX	10,592	81	10,673
ARIZONA	698	81	779
CALIFORNIA	9,311	NA	9,311
HAWAII	442	NA	442
NEVADA	141	NA	141
REGION X	4,575	NA	4,575
ALASKA	93	NA	93
IDAHO	392	NA	392
OREGON	1,769	NA	1,769
WASHINGTON	2,321	NA	2,321
UNITED STATES	141,512 est	9,202+	150,714+

NEW ADMISSIONS DURING YEAR

Table 5 reports first admissions. The question was, *"How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, and who had not previously been resident in one of your state-operated facilities of either kind, were admitted to these facilities between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979?"* The subquestions, as before, requested separate figures for the two types of facility.

In this and in other questions relating to admission and demission, transfers among the facilities of the two types were not to be counted. This instruction was, as far as could be determined by telephone, followed by the states in their reports. However, the possibility does remain that an error of over-reporting may have occurred in one or more states.

More commonly, some states have reported as first admissions a few people who had previously been served in a different facility. This took place because some states keep their records by separate facility; in such situations, a person who had in the past been a resident in Facility X would be counted as a new admission when entering Facility Y, which would have no record of any previous residence. The effect of this error, when present, is to correspondingly reduce the number of reported readmissions in Table 6. There is no way to estimate, from the facts available here, the probable size limits of that kind of error.

Beyond the absolute numbers given in Table 5, it will be useful to note, in Tables 10, 11, and 12, the admission rates relative to the size of the resident populations.

For the calculation of United States totals, states that were unable to report their PRF/other admissions were tallied in the "All Facilities" column as having at least the number of PRF/MR admissions. As in the three previous tables, the United States total for all facilities is shown with a "+" to indicate that it is a minimum statement, and the same sign is added to the United States PRF/other total to indicate that any unreported quantity would be additional.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 5

New Admissions, FY 79: Number of persons with primary diagnosis of mental retardation, who had not previously been resident in a facility owned by that state, who were admitted to public residential facilities between 7/1/78 and 6/30/79.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	151	39+	190
CONNECTICUT	96	38	134
MAINE	23	NA	23
MASSACHUSETTS	0	DNF	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	7	1	8
RHODE ISLAND	22	DNF	22+
VERMONT	3	NA	3
REGION II	439	58	479
NEW JERSEY	17[illegible]	NA	175
NEW YORK	264	58	322
REGION III	428+	164+	592+
DELAWARE	24	NA	24
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	NA	0
MARYLAND	172	39	211
PENNSYLVANIA	102	49	151
VIRGINIA	130	76	206
WEST VIRGINIA	DNF	DNF	NC
REGION IV	794+	494	1288
ALABAMA	72	NA	72
FLORIDA	77	47	124
GEORGIA	192	177	369
KENTUCKY	78	14	92
MISSISSIPPI	253	NA	253
NORTH CAROLINA	81	191	272
SOUTH CAROLINA	DNF	NA	NC
TENNESSEE	41	65	106
REGION V	1101	370 est	1471 est
ILLINOIS	273	140	413
INDIANA	105 est	79 est	184 est
MICHIGAN	251	NA	251
MINNESOTA	96 est	53 est	149 est
OHIO	33	4	37
WISCONSIN	343	94	437
REGION VI	904	63+	967+
ARKANSAS	174	20	194
LOUISIANA	381	DNF	381+
NEW MEXICO	38	12	50
OKLAHOMA	108	NA	108
TEXAS	203	31	234
REGION VII	1206	80	1286
IOWA	68	NA	68
KANSAS	65	3	68
MISSOURI	1061	72	1133
NEBRASKA	12	5	17
REGION VIII	159	27	186
COLORADO	58	NA	58
MONTANA	7	0	7
NORTH DAKOTA	25	17	42
SOUTH DAKOTA	12	DNF	12+
UTAH	39	NA	39
WYOMING	18	10	28
REGION IX	285	0	285
ARIZONA	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	260 est	NA	260 est
HAWAII	13	NA	13
NEVADA	12	NA	12
REGION X	197 est	NA	197 est
ALASKA	3	NA	3
IDAHO	17	NA	17
OREGON	80	NA	89
WASHINGTON	88	NA	88
UNITED STATES	5664 est	1295+	6959+

READMISSIONS DURING YEAR

Table 6 reports readmissions to the facilities. The question asked was, *"How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, and who had previously been resident in one of your state-operated facilities of either kind, were admitted to these facilities between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979? Do not include transfers among these two kinds of facility."* The subquestions asked for the numbers readmitted *"to facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded"* and *"to other state residential facilities, people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation."*

Refer to page 16 for the discussion, relative to Table 5, of possible reporting of some readmissions as new admissions. The result of such an error, when present, would be to reduce the number reported in Table 6 and to correspondingly increase the numbers reported in Table 5.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 6

Readmissions, FY 79: Number of persons with primary diagnosis of mental retardation, who had previously been resident in a facility owned by that state, who were re-admitted to public residential facilities between 7/1/78 and 6/30/79.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	857	109+	966+
CONNECTICUT	793	97	890
MAINE	48	NA	48
MASSACHUSETTS	3	DNF	3+
NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	12	12
RHODE ISLAND	12	DNF	12+
VERMONT	1	NA	1
REGION II	1103	320	1423
NEW JERSEY	339	NA	339
NEW YORK	764	320	1084
REGION III	1423+	266+	1689+
DELAWARE	64	NA	64
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	NA	0
MARYLAND	1226	72	1298
PENNSYLVANIA	63	5	68
VIRGINIA	70	189	259
WEST VIRGINIA	DNF	DNF	NC
REGION IV	843+	990	1833+
ALABAMA	44	NA	44
FLORIDA	116	46	162
GEORGIA	161	327	488
KENTUCKY	56	93	149
MISSISSIPPI	24	NA	24
NORTH CAROLINA	117	387	504
SOUTH CAROLINA	DNF	NA	NC
TENNESSEE	325	137	462
REGION V	501+	353+	854+
ILLINOIS	103	242	345
INDIANA	25 est	43 est	68 est
MICHIGAN	150	NA	150
MINNESOTA	103	58 est	161 est
OHIO	120	10	130
WISCONSIN	DNF	DNF	NC
REGION VI	78+	142+	220+
ARKANSAS	5	54	59
LOUISIANA	21	DNF	21+
NEW MEXICO	33	34	67
OKLAHOMA	19	NA	19
TEXAS	DNF	54 est	54+
REGION VII	2478	229	2707
IOWA	85	NA	85
KANSAS	85	1	86
MISSOURI	2303	224	2527
NEBRASKA	5	4	9
REGION VIII	60	31+	91+
COLORADO	22	NA	22
MONTANA	6	0	6
NORTH DAKOTA	9	27	36
SOUTH DAKOTA	12	DNF	12+
UTAH	8	NA	8
WYOMING	3	4	7
REGION IX	377 est	0	377 est
ARIZONA	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	260 est	NA	260 est
HAWAII	76	NA	76
NEVADA	41	NA	41
REGION X	189	NA	189 est
ALASKA	2	NA	2
IDAHO	7	NA	7
OREGON	42	NA	42
WASHINGTON	138	NA	138
UNITED STATES	7909+	2440+	10349+

LIVE RELEASES DURING YEAR

Table 7 reports live releases during the year. The eliciting question was, *"How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation were officially released from the facilities and removed from the facilities' rolls during the period 7/1/78 to 6/30/79? Include those who had made the physical move, such as on trial placement, before 7/1/78; do not include those who had made the move but who were still carried 'on the books' of a particular facility on 6/30/79. Do not include transfers among those two kinds of facility."*

The intent of this somewhat complicated instruction was to seek a number that could be found in the existing record systems, and that would also reflect the ongoing responsibility (where such existed) for persons who were not physically present but were carried on the rolls as charges of the facility.

Telephone contacts seemed to show that the question agreed with the existing record systems of most states. Ambiguities appeared to be rare and to be readily resolved.

The ratio of all admissions (new admissions and readmissions; see Tables 5 and 6) to live releases for the United States was:

PRF/MR;	13573 admissions to 16980 releases,	or 44%/56% ratio
PRF/other:	3735 admissions to 4426 releases,	or 46%/54% ratio
All Facilities:	17308 admissions to 21406 releases,	or 45%/55% ratio

Live releases, it will be noted, outnumbered all admissions. However, net resident movement during the year as figured from admissions, releases, and deaths does not exactly equal the net resident movement as figured from year-end data.

For ratio comparison of live releases to population sizes, see Tables 13, 14, and 15.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 7

Live Releases, FY 79: Number of persons with primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were officially released from public residential facilities (removed from institutional rolls) between 7/1/78 and 6/30/79.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	438	185	623
CONNECTICUT	85	140	225
MAINE	58	NA	58
MASSACHUSETTS	166	DNF	166
NEW HAMPSHIRE	23	45	68
RHODE ISLAND	49	DNF	49+
VERMONT	57	NA	57
REGION II	2642	614	3256
NEW JERSEY	560	NA	560
NEW YORK	2082	614	2696
REGION III	2513	600	3113
DELAWARE	94	NA	94
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	13	NA	13
MARYLAND	1535	65	1600
PENNSYLVANIA	620	57	677
VIRGINIA	240	307	547
WEST VIRGINIA	11	171	182
REGION IV	2037	1663	3700
ALABAMA	94	NA	94
FLORIDA	301	204	505
GEORGIA	431	559	990
KENTUCKY	108	132	240
MISSISSIPPI	258	NA	258
NORTH CAROLINA	266	520	786
SOUTH CAROLINA	229	NA	229
TENNESSEE	350	248	598
REGION V	2302 est	789 est	3091 est
ILLINOIS	373	393	766
INDIANA	196 est	131 est	327 est
MICHIGAN	617	NA	617
MINNESOTA	240	127	367
OHIO	494	42	536
WISCONSIN	382	96	478
REGION VI	1376	127+	1503+
ARKANSAS	239	83	322
LOUISIANA	246	DNF	246+
NEW MEXICO	186	30	216
OKLAHOMA	121	NA	121
TEXAS	584	14	598
REGION VII	3952	344	4296
IOWA	205	NA	205
KANSAS	164	0	164
MISSOURI	3499	328	3827
NEBRASKA	84	16	100
REGION VIII	322	65+	387+
COLORADO	142	NA	142
MONTANA	12	5	17
NORTH DAKOTA	48	46	94
SOUTH DAKOTA	76	DNF	76+
UTAH	31	NA	31
WYOMING	13	14	27
REGION IX	899	39	938
ARIZONA	88	39	127
CALIFORNIA	693	NA	693
HAWAII	64	NA	64
NEVADA	54	NA	54
REGION X	499	NC	499
ALASKA	9	NA	9
IDAHO	49	NA	49
OREGON	137	NA	137
WASHINGTON	304	NA	304
UNITED STATES	16980 est	4426+	21406+

DEATHS DURING YEAR

Table 8 reports deaths in institutions during the year. The question asked on the survey was, *"How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation died during the period 7/1/78 to 6/30/79 while they were on the rolls of these facilities? Include those who were not physically in the facility, such as on leave or trial placement or in a community hospital, if they were on the facility's roll at the time of death."* The subquestions differentiated the two types of facility.

As with the previous question, this inquiry was intended to correspond with the states' record systems and also to reflect the possible occurrence of death while off the facility grounds, such as while under treatment in a community hospital.

Telephone contacts seemed to show that the question was well understood; no state reported that it could not make the inclusion for PRF/MRs. Some respondents did not have the data for PRF/others.

The ratios of deaths to population size will be found in Tables 13 to 15.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 8

Deaths, FY 79: Number of persons with primary diangosis of mental retardation who died during the period 7/1/78-6/30/79 while on rolls of public residential facilities.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	116	5+	121+
CONNECTICUT	26	3	29
MAINE	6	NA	6
MASSACHUSETTS	43	DNF	43+
NEW HAMPSHIRE	10	2	12
RHODE ISLAND	11	DNF	11+
VERMONT	20	NA	20
REGION II	378	57	435
NEW JERSEY	96	NA	96
NEW YORK	282	57	339
REGION III	244	30	274
DELAWARE	10	NA	10
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	16	NA	16
MARYLAND	36	1	37
PENNSYLVANIA	104	4	108
VIRGINIA	72	12	84
WEST VIRGINIA	6	13	19
REGION IV	307+	27+	344+
ALABAMA	15	NA	15
FLORIDA	87	DNF	87+
GEORGIA	DNF	DNF	NC
KENTUCKY	9	2	11
MISSISSIPPI	45	NA	45
NORTH CAROLINA	34	15	49
SOUTH CAROLINA	65	NA	65
TENNESSEE	52	10	62
REGION V	426+ est	22+ est	448+ est
ILLINOIS	121	9	130
INDIANA	27 est	7 est	34 est
MICHIGAN	149	NA	149
MINNESOTA	31	3	34
OHIO	98	3	101
WISCONSIN	DNF	DNF	NC
REGION VI	207	5+	212+
ARKANSAS	11	5	16
LOUISIANA	43	DNF	43+
NEW MEXICO	2	0	2
OKLAHOMA	20	NA	20
TEXAS	131	0	131
REGION VII	131 est	15	146 est
IOWA	22	NA	22
KANSAS	35	0	35
MISSOURI	59	15	74
NEBRASKA	15	0	15
REGION VIII	70	2+	72+
COLORADO	13	NA	13
MONTANA	3	0	3
NORTH DAKOTA	24	2	26
SOUTH DAKOTA	5	DNF	5+
UTAH	10	NA	10
WYOMING	15	0	15
REGION IX	174	1	175
ARIZONA	7	1	8
CALIFORNIA	160	NA	160
HAWAII	6	NA	6
NEVADA	1	NA	1
REGION X	34	NA	34
ALASKA	0	NA	0
IDAHO	4	NA	4
OREGON	21	NA	21
WASHINGTON	9	NA	9
UNITED STATES	2087 est	164+	2251+

COST PER DIEM

Table 9 shows the average cost, per day, of serving a mentally retarded person in the facilities. The survey question was, *"What was the per diem cost of care of residents with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation in these facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979? Do not subtract federal reimbursements before figuring the cost."* Separate per diem figures were requested for each of the two types of facility.

Most of the reporting states had no difficulty with the meaning of "per diem cost." This is a universally used term, meaning 1/365th of the facility's annual operating expense, divided by the average daily number of residents. There are some differences in how the states compute this figure, but it is a datum that has been calculated each year for several decades.

There were some exceptions to the formula quoted above. In a few states it is possible for a low per diem figure to have been due to the omission of federal subsidy for Intermediate Care Facility cost from the calculation. Less obvious variations probably influenced the figures from some other states.

In general, PRF/others often posed a reporting problem to the states. In some cases, it was the judgment of the state informant that the true per diem for persons in units or programs for the mentally retarded was the same, whether the person was in a PRF/MR or PRF/other. In some instances, mental retardation units in PRF/others calculated their own per diem. In still other instances, mentally retarded persons' per diem was averaged into the total residential population of "other" facilities. The available information does not permit a reconciliation of this admixture, and the state informants' judgment was accepted without adjustment.

The regional and United States figures are weighted averages. For each state from which both the resident population and the per diem were furnished, the per diem figure was weighted proportionate to the number of people represented.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 9

Per Diem Cost: Cost per diem for care of residents with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation in state-operated residential facilities during fiscal year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other
REGION I	56.29	109.21
CONNECTICUT	68.49	87.00 est
MAINE	DNF	NA
MASSACHUSETTS	64.82	131.08
NEW HAMPSHIRE	38.00	71.00
RHODE ISLAND	DNF	DNF
VERMONT	58.05	NA
REGION II	62.52	70.25
NEW JERSEY	29.99 est	NA
NEW YORK	78.50	70.90
REGION III	52.51	52.25
DELAWARE	35.39	NA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	62.50	NA
MARYLAND	39.79	DNF
PENNSYLVANIA	79.42	64.00
VIRGINIA	45.45	40.49 est
WEST VIRGINIA	DNF	DNF
REGION IV	53.70	60.04 est
ALABAMA	61.46	NA
FLORIDA	56.01	47.31
GEORGIA	DNF	DNF
KENTUCKY	69.00	61.00
MISSISSIPPI	39.66	NA
NORTH CAROLINA	64.05	69.79
SOUTH CAROLINA	38.58	NA
TENNESSEE	54.83	58.08
REGION V	66.39 est	80.44 est
ILLINOIS	69.25	102.76
INDIANA	54.08	52.06
MICHIGAN	60.61	NA
MINNESOTA	58.25	58.25
OHIO	64.13	67.11
WISCONSIN	92.00 est	122.00 est
REGION VI	41.59	59.29
ARKANSAS	43.18 est	63.00 est
LOUISIANA	63.32	DNF
NEW MEXICO	44.60	35.25
OKLAHOMA	46.15	NA
TEXAS	38.46	58.92
REGION VII	57.55	80.69
IOWA	64.91	NA
KANSAS	55.63	89.10
MISSOURI	58.22	55.57
NEBRASKA	51.45	97.39
REGION VIII	44.45	51.46
COLORADO	48.97	NA
MONTANA	69.37	46.95
NORTH DAKOTA	26.42	50.89
SOUTH DAKOTA	40.00	48.00 est
UTAH	48.40	NA
WYOMING	37.97	60.00
REGION IX	61.58 est	44.69 est
ARIZONA	61.15 est	44.69
CALIFORNIA	74.32 est	NA
HAWAII	50.00	NA
NEVADA	80.00 est	NA
REGION X	80.28	NA
ALASKA	153.75	NA
IDAHO	50.03	NA
OREGON	55.27	NA
WASHINGTON	55.65	NA
UNITED STATES	57.12 est	70.00 est

ADMISSION RATIOS, PRF/MR

Table 10 of this report begins the section which derives from the survey questionnaire, but which includes secondary calculations. This table gives the ratio of admissions to the population in the facilities that are designed exclusively or primarily for the mentally retarded on July 1, 1978 (the beginning of the report year).

In this table, and in Tables 11-15 and in Tables 17 and 19, the divisor chosen was the on-roll resident population at the beginning of the report year, July 1, 1978. The purpose of this choice was to show the changes during the year relative to a starting point for the year. The choice was partly based upon advice from some states given in the course of data refinement.

Thus, these tables differ in two respects from those shown in the first edition of last year's report: the divisor is the July 1 figure rather than the average daily residents figure; and percent, rather than number per thousand, is printed here.

The fourth column of Table 10 gives a total that was not given in the earlier tables: the number of all admissions, both new and readmissions, to these facilities.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 10

Admissions to PRF/MRs as Percent of Residents on 7/1/79: New admissions, readmissions, and total admissions to state-operated residential facilities that are exclusively or primarily designed for the mentally retarded, expressed as the percent of resident population in that type of facility at the beginning of the year (July 1, 1978); and total numbers of admissions for fiscal year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

REGION/STATE	Percent, New Admissions	Percent, Readmissions	Percent, Total Admissions	Number, Total Admissions
REGION I	1.4	8.2	9.6	1008
CONNECTICUT	3.2	26.8	30.0	889
MAINE	4.4	9.2	13.6	71
MASSACHUSETTS	0	.1	.1	3
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1.0	0	1.0	7
RHODE ISLAND	3.0	1.6	4.6	34
VERMONT	.4	.1	.5	4
REGION II	1.8	4.5	6.3	1542
NEW JERSEY	2.2	4.3	6.5	514
NEW YORK	1.6	4.6	6.2	1028
REGION III	2.3+	7.8+	10.1+	1851+
DELAWARE	4.5	11.9	16.4	88
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	5.3	37.9	43.2	1398
PENNSYLVANIA	1.1	.7	1.8	165
VIRGINIA	3.3	1.8	5.1	200
WEST VIRGINIA	NC	NC	NC	NC
REGION IV	4.2+	4.4+	8.6+	1637+
ALABAMA	3.9	2.4	6.3	116
FLORIDA	1.8	2.7	4.5	193
GEORGIA	12.7	10.6	23.3	353
KENTUCKY	8.3	5.9	14.2	134
MISSISSIPPI	13.8	1.3	15.1	277
NORTH CAROLINA	2.4	3.4	5.8	198
SOUTH CAROLINA	NC	NC	NC	NC
TENNESSEE	1.9	15.6	17.5	366
REGION V	4.4 est	2.0+ est	6.4 est	1602
ILLINOIS	4.4	1.7	6.1	376
INDIANA	3.7 est	.9 est	4.6 est	130
MICHIGAN	4.3	2.6	6.9	401
MINNESOTA	5.1	5.5	10.6	199
OHIO	.5	1.9	2.4	153
WISCONSIN	16.7	NC	NC	NC
REGION VI	4.7	.4+	5.1+	982
ARKANSAS	9.8	.3	10.1	179
LOUISIANA	12.0	.7	12.7	402
NEW MEXICO	7.0	6.1	13.1	71
OKLAHOMA	5.5	1.0	6.5	127
TEXAS	1.7	NC	NC	NC
REGION VII	18.1	37.2	55.3	3684
IOWA	3.4	4.2	7.6	153
KANSAS	4.8	6.3	11.1	150
MISSOURI	42.7	92.6	135.3	3364
NEBRASKA	1.5	.6	2.1	17
REGION VIII	3.2	1.2	4.4	219
COLORADO	4.1	1.6	5.7	80
MONTANA	2.1	1.8	3.9	13
NORTH DAKOTA	2.2	.8	3.0	34
SOUTH DAKOTA	1.6	1.6	3.2	24
UTAH	4.6	.9	5.5	47
WYOMING	3.5	.6	4.1	21
REGION IX	4.9	5.8	10.7	662
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	2.7	2.7	5.4	520
HAWAII	2.7	15.9	18.6	89
NEVADA	8.4	28.7	37.1	53
REGION X	4.2 est	4.0 est	8.2 est	386
ALASKA	3.2	2.1	5.3	5
IDAHO	4.0	1.7	5.7	24
OREGON	5.0 est	2.3 est	7.3 est	131
WASHINGTON	3.7	5.8	9.5	226
UNITED STATES	3.9 est	5.5 est	9.4 est	13573+

ADMISSION RATIOS, PRF/OTHER

Table 11 reports the ratios of admissions of persons, with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, to the resident population of mentally retarded people on July 1, 1978 in state-operated facilities that are designed primarily to serve persons who are not mentally retarded. By primary identity, most of these "other" facilities are mental health services.

In this table, and in Tables 11, 12-15, 17, and 19, the divisor chosen was the on-roll resident population at the beginning of the report year, July 1, 1978. The purpose of this choice was to show the changes durint the year relative to a starting point for the year. The choice was partly based upon advice from some states given in the course of data refinement.

Thus, these tables differ on two respects from those shown in the first edition of last year's report: the divisor is the July 1 figure rather than the average daily residents figure; and percent, rather than number per thousand, is printed here.

Because Table 11 (like Table 14) reports PRF/others only, and because many states do not have any such facilities with significant numbers of mentally retarded residents, "NA" (Not Applicable) is a frequently repeated entry here.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

LwF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 11

Admissions to PRF/Others as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: New admissions, readmissions, and total admissions of mentally retarded people to state-operated residential facilities that are not primarily designed to serve the mentally retarded, expressed as the percent of resident population in that type of facility at the beginning of the year (July 1, 1978); and total numbers of admissions for fiscal year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

REGION/STATE	Percent, New Admissions	Percent, Readmissions	Percent, Total Admissions	Number, Total Admissions
REGION I	3.5+	9.9+	13.4+	148+
CONNECTICUT	30.9	78.9	110.0	135
MAINE	NA	NA	NA	NA
MASSACHUSETTS	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1.5	17.9	19.4	13
RHODE ISLAND	NC	NC	NC	NC
VERMONT	NA	NA	NA	NA
REGION II	3.4	18.9	22.3	378
NEW JERSEY	NA	NA	NA	NA
NEW YORK	3.4	18.9	22.3	378
REGION III	8.7+	14.1	22.9	430+
DELAWARE	NA	NA	NA	NA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MARYLAND	13.2	24.4	37.6	111
PENNSYLVANIA	9.5	1.0	10.4	54
VIRGINIA	18.2	45.2	63.4	265
WEST VIRGINIA	NC	NC	NC	NC
REGION IV	1.8	3.6	5.4	1484
ALABAMA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FLORIDA	12.9	12.7	25.6	93
GEORGIA	14.5	26.8	41.3	504
KENTUCKY	6.3	41.7	48.0	107
MISSISSIPPI	NA	NA	NA	NA
NORTH CAROLINA	36.4	73.7	110.1	578
SOUTH CAROLINA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TENNESSEE	16.7	35.1	51.8	202
REGION V	14.6	14.0+	28.6+	723
ILLINOIS	29.4	50.8	80.2	382
INDIANA	14.8	8.1 est	22.9 est	122 est
MICHIGAN	NA	NA	NA	NA
MINNESOTA	5.6 est	6.1 est	11.7	111
OHIO	.9	2.3	3.2	14
WISCONSIN	70.1	NC	NC	NC
REGION VI	11.8+	26.5+	47.1+	205+
ARKANSAS	6.5	17.5	24.0	74
LOUISIANA	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW MEXICO	23.1	65.4	88.5	46
OKLAHOMA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TEXAS	17.7	30.9 est	48.6 est	85 est
REGION VII	19.5	55.7	75.2	309
IOWA	NA	NA	NA	NA
KANSAS	13.6	4.5	18.2	4
MISSOURI	21.6	67.1	88.7	296
NEBRASKA	9.1	7.3	16.4	9
REGION VIII	26.7 est	30.7+	57.4 est	58+ est
COLORADO	NA	NA	NA	NA
MONTANA	0	0	0	0
NORTH DAKOTA	34.0	54.0	88.0	44
SOUTH DAKOTA	NC	NC	NC	DNF
UTAH	NA	NA	NA	NA
WYOMING	66.7	26.7	93.3	14
REGION IX	0	0	0	0
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	NA	NA	NA	NA
HAWAII	NA	NA	NA	NA
NEVADA	NA	NA	NA	NA
REGION X	NA	NA	NA	NA
ALASKA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IDAHO	NA	NA	NA	NA
OREGON	NA	NA	NA	NA
WASHINGTON	NA	NA	NA	NA
UNITED STATES	11.8+	22.2	34.0+	3735+

ADMISSION RATIOS, ALL FACILITIES

Table 12 reports the admission ratios for both types of facilities. They are expressed as percent of the retarded populations of the facilities on July 1, 1978, summing figures for both PRF/MRs and PRF/others. This table draws upon the data in Tables 3, 10, and 11.

The ratios given as percents in this table are most comparable to the ratios that were reported in earlier decades for state institutions for the mentally retarded. The reason for this is the evident emergence, in the last decade or so, of the generic residential facility and/or the state mental health facility with a mental retardation unit. On the other hand, there have been changes in the art of differential diagnosis and in case tracking technology during this time, so that comparisons with previous years must be made cautiously. Many previous studies reported number of admissions per thousand average daily residents; to make these percentages directly comparable, they should be multiplied by ten.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 12

Admission to All Facilities as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: New admissions, readmissions, and total admissions of mentally retarded people to state-operated facilities of both types combined, expressed as a percent of resident mentally retarded population in those facilities at the beginning of the year (July 1, 1978); and total numbers of admissions for fiscal year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979.

REGION/STATE	Percent, New Admissions	Percent, Readmissions	Percent, Total Admissions	Number, Total Admissions
REGION I	1.6	8.3+	9.9+	1156+
CONNECTICUT	4.4	28.9	33.2	1024
MAINE	4.3	9.2	13.5	71
MASSACHUSETTS	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	1.0	1.5	2.5	20
RHODE ISLAND	NC	NC	NC	NC
VERMONT	.4	.1	.5	4
REGION II	1.9	5.4	7.4	1920
NEW JERSEY	2.2	4.3	6.5	514
NEW YORK	1.8	5.9	7.7	1406
REGION III	2.9	8.4	11.3	2281
DELAWARE	4.5	11.9	16.4	88
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0	0	0
MARYLAND	6.0	36.8	42.8	1509
PENNSYLVANIA	1.6	.7	2.3	219
VIRGINIA	4.7	5.9	10.6	465
WEST VIRGINIA	NC	NC	21.0	230
REGION IV	5.9	8.4+	14.3+	3121+
ALABAMA	3.9	2.5	6.4	116
FLORIDA	2.7	3.5	6.2	286
GEORGIA	13.5	17.8	31.3	857
KENTUCKY	7.9	12.8	20.7	241
MISSISSIPPI	13.8	1.3	15.1	277
NORTH CAROLINA	6.9	12.8	19.7	776
SOUTH CAROLINA	NC	NC	NC	NC
TENNESSEE	4.3	18.7	22.9	567
REGION V	5.3 est	3.1+	8.4+	2325+
ILLINOIS	6.2	5.2	11.4	758
INDIANA	5.5 est	2.0 est	7.5 est	252 est
MICHIGAN	4.3	2.6	6.9	401
MINNESOTA	5.3 est	5.7 est	10.9 est	310 est
OHIO	.5	1.9	2.4	167
WISCONSIN	19.9	NC	NC	NC
REGION VI	4.9+	1.1+	6.0	1187+
ARKANSAS	9.3	2.8	12.1	253
LOUISIANA	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW MEXICO	8.4	11.3	19.7	117
OKLAHOMA	5.5	1.0	6.5	127
TEXAS	2.0	NC	NC	NC
REGION VII	18.2	38.3	56.5	3993
IOWA	3.4	4.2	7.6	153
KANSAS	5.0	6.3	11.2	154
MISSOURI	40.2	89.6	129.7	3660
NEBRASKA	2.0	1.0	3.0	26
REGION VIII	3.6	1.8	5.4	277
COLORADO	4.1	1.6	5.6	80
MONTANA	2.0	1.7	3.8	13
NORTH DAKOTA	3.5	3.0	6.5	78
SOUTH DAKOTA	NC	NC	NC	NC
UTAH	4.6	.9	5.5	47
WYOMING	5.2	1.3	6.6	35
REGION IX	2.6	3.4	6.0	662
ARIZONA	0	0	0	0
CALIFORNIA	2.7	2.7	5.4	520
HAWAII	2.7	15.9	18.6	89
NEVADA	8.4	28.7	37.1	53
REGION X	4.2 est	4.0 est	8.2 est	386 est
ALASKA	3.2	2.1	5.3	5
IDAHO	4.0	1.7	5.7	24
OREGON	5.0	2.3	7.3	131 est
WASHINGTON	3.7	5.8	9.5	226
UNITED STATES	4.5+ est	6.7+ est	11.2+	17308+ est

TERMINATION RATIOS, PRF/MR

Table 13 reports the ratios of terminations, by live release or by death, of mentally retarded people from state-operated PRF/MRs. The figures are expressions of the number of terminations in percent of the on-roll populations on July 1, 1978.

Nationally, approximately 10% of the population was released during the year, presumably to community placements. One and one-half percent died during the year.

The release rates, it may be noted, show a wide range. Some of the more variant figures are associated with small population sizes, and might be quite different in other years. The same may be true of death rates, but they would be less subject to policy fluctuations; there, the differences may well be associated with differences among the states in age and severity characteristics of the residential populations. See pages 20 and 51 for discussion of probable underestimates in these figures.

In this table, and in Tables 10, 14, 15, 16, and 19, the divisor chosen was the on-roll resident population at the beginning of the report year, July 1, 1978. The purpose of this choice was to show the changes during the year relative to a starting point for the year. The choice was partly based upon advice from states given in the course of data refinement.

Thus, these tables differ in two respects from those shown in the first edition of last year's report: the divisor is the July 1 figure rather than the average daily residents figure and the percent, rather than number per thousand, is printed here.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 13

Terminations from PRF/MRs as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: Live releases and deaths from state-operated residential facilities that are exclusively or primarily designed for the mentally retarded, expressed as the percent of resident population in that type of facility at the beginning of the year (July 1, 1978).

REGION/STATE	Number, Live Releases	Percent, Live Releases	Number, Deaths	Percent, Deaths
REGION I	438	4.2	116	1.
CONNECTICUT	85	2.9	26	.3
MAINE	58	1.1	6	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	166	3.5	43	.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE	23	3.2	10	1.4
RHODE ISLAND	49	6.6	11	1.5
VERMONT	57	7.5	20	2.6
REGION II	2642	10.8	378	1.5
NEW JERSEY	560	7.1	96	1.2
NEW YORK	2082	12.6	282	1.7
REGION III	2513	13.7	244	1.3
DELAWARE	94	17.5	10	1.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	13	1.3	16	1.5
MARYLAND	1536	47.5	36	1.1
PENNSYLVANIA	620	6.8	104	1.1
VIRGINIA	240	6.1	72	1.8
WEST VIRGINIA	11	2.5	6	1.4
REGION IV	2037	10.7	307+	1.6+
ALABAMA	94	5.1	15	.8
FLORIDA	301	7.1	87	2.0
GEORGIA	431	28.4	DNF	NC
KENTUCKY	108	11.5	9	1.0
MISSISSIPPI	258	14.1	45	2.5
NORTH CAROLINA	266	7.8	34	1.0
SOUTH CAROLINA	229	7.3	65	2.1
TENNESSEE	350	16.9	52	2.5
REGION V	2302	9.1	425+	1.7
ILLINOIS	373	6.0	121	2.0
INDIANA	196 est	7.0	27 est	1.0
MICHIGAN	617	10.6	149	2.6
MINNESOTA	240	12.7	31	1.6
OHIO	494	7.7	98	1.5
WISCONSIN	382	18.6	DNF	NC
REGION VI	1376	7.2	207	1.1
ARKANSAS	239	13.5	11	.6
LOUISIANA	246	7.7	43	1.4
NEW MEXICO	186	34.3	2	.4
OKLAHOMA	121	6.2	20	1.0
TEXAS	584	5.0	131	1.1
REGION VII	3952	59.4	131 est	2.0
IOWA	205	10.2	22	1.1
KANSAS	164	12.2	35	2.6
MISSOURI	3499	140.7	59	2.4
NEBRASKA	84	10.4	15	1.9
REGION VIII	322	6.4	70	1.4
COLORADO	142	10.0	13	.9
MONTANA	12	3.6	3	.9
NORTH DAKOTA	48	4.2	24	2.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	76	10.0	5	.7
UTAH	31	3.6	10	1.2
WYOMING	13	2.5	15	2.9
REGION IX	899	8.1	174	1.6
ARIZONA	88	11.2	7	.9
CALIFORNIA	693	7.2	160	1.7
HAWAII	64	13.4	6	1.3
NEVADA	54	37.8	1	.7
REGION X	499	10.6	34	.7
ALASKA	9	9.5	0	.0
IDAHO	49	11.6	4	1.0
OREGON	137	7.6	21	1.2
WASHINGTON	304	12.8	9	.4
UNITED STATES	16980 est	11.8 est	2037 est	1.4 est

TERMINATION RATIOS, PRF/OTHER

Table 14 gives the termination ratios for mentally retarded people from facilities that are designed primarily to serve persons other than those who are mentally retarded. The ratios are expressed as the percent of populations in these facilities on July 1, 1978.

Due to the fact that many states did not have mentally retarded residents in such facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979 (NA), and some others were not able to give figures needed for calculation (NC), data are cited for fewer than half of the states. Even the national weighted average, therefore, should be interpreted with caution.

In this table, and in Tables 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19, the divisor chosen was the on-roll resident population at the beginning of the report year, July 1, 1978. The purpose of this choice was to show the changes during the year relative to a starting point for the year. The choice was partly based upon advice from some states given in the course of data refinement.

Thus, these tables differ in two respects from those shown in the first edition of last year's report: the divisor is the July 1 figure rather than the average daily residents figure and the percent, rather than number per thousand, is printed here.

Such information as is available indicates a substantially higher live release rate from these facilities than from PRF/MRs (see Table 13). The rate indicates some basic differences in how the two kinds of facility are used for what is nominally the same kind of populations.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 14

Termination from PRF/Others as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: Live releases and deaths of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation from state-operated residential facilities other than those that are designed exclusively or primarily for the mentally retarded, expressed as the percent of the resident population in that type of facility at the beginning of the year.

REGION/STATE	Number, Live Releases	Percent, Live Releases	Number, Deaths	Percent, Deaths
REGION I	185	16.7	5+	.5+
CONNECTICUT	140	113.8	3	2.4
MAINE	NA	NA	NA	NA
MASSACHUSETTS	DNF	NC	DNF	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	45	67.2	2	3.0
RHODE ISLAND	DNF	NC	DNF	NC
VERMONT	NA	NA	NA	NA
REGION II	614	36.2	57	3.4
NEW JERSEY	NA	NA	NA	NA
NEW YORK	6.4	36.2	57	3.4
REGION III	600	31.9	30	1.6
DELAWARE	NA	NA	NA	NA
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MARYLAND	65	22.0	1	.3
PENNSYLVANIA	57	11.0	4	.8
VIRGINIA	307	73.4	12	2.9
WEST VIRGINIA	171	26.3	13	2.0
REGION IV	1663	61.1	27+	1.0+
ALABAMA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FLORIDA	204	56.2	DNF	NC
GEORGIA	559	45.9	DNF	NC
KENTUCKY	132	59.2	2	.9
MISSISSIPPI	NA	NA	NA	NA
NORTH CAROLINA	520	99.0	15	2.9
SOUTH CAROLINA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TENNESSEE	248	63.6	10	2.6
REGION V	789 est	31.2+	22+	.9+
ILLINOIS	393	82.6	9	1.9
INDIANA	131 est	24.6	7 est	1.3
MICHIGAN	NA	NA	NA	NA
MINNESOTA	127	13.4	3	.3
OHIO	42	9.6	3	.7
WISCONSIN	96	71.6	DNF	NC
REGION VI	127+	29.2+	5+	1.1+
ARKANSAS	83	26.9	5	1.6
LOUISIANA	DNF	NC	DNF	NC
NEW MEXICO	30	57.7	0	0.0
OKLAHOMA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TEXAS	14	8.0	0	0.0
REGION VII	344	83.7	15	3.6
IOWA	NA	NA	NA	NA
KANSAS	0	0.0	0	0.0
MISSOURI	328	98.2	15	4.5
NEBRASKA	16	29.1	0	0.0
REGION VIII	65+	64.4+	2+	2.0+
COLORADO	NA	NA	NA	NA
MONTANA	5	31.3	0	0.0
NORTH DAKOTA	46	92.0	2	4.0
SOUTH DAKOTA	DNF	NC	DNF	NC
UTAH	NA	NA	NA	NA
WYOMING	14	93.3	0	0.0
REGION IX	39	97.5	1	2.5
ARIZONA	39	97.5	1	2.5
CALIFORNIA	NA	NA	NA	NA
HAWAII	NA	NA	NA	NA
NEVADA	NA	NA	NA	NA
REGION X	NA	NA	NA	NA
ALASKA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IDAHO	NA	NA	NA	NA
OREGON	NA	NA	NA	NA
WASHINGTON	NA	NA	NA	NA
UNITED STATES	4426+	40.2+	164+	1.5+

TERMINATION RATIOS, ALL FACILITIES

Table 15 combines the terminations of mentally retarded people from both types of facility during 1978-79, and expresses them as the percent of resident retarded populations in those facilities on July 1, 1978.

To convert the given figures to a true decimal, the decimal point should be moved two places to the left. Thus, a percent of 20.1 becomes .201 of the population in the facilities.

In this table, and in Tables 10, 13, 14, 17, and 19, the divisor chosen was the on-roll resident population at the beginning of the report year, July 1, 1978. The purpose of this choice was to show the changes during the year relative to a starting point for the year. The choice was partly based upon advice from some states given in the course of data refinement.

Thus, these tables differ in two respects from those shown in the first edition of last year's report: the divisor is the July 1 figure rather than the average daily residents figure and the percent, rather than number per thousand, is printed here.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 15

Termination from All Facilities as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: Live releases and deaths of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation from all state-operated residential facilities, expressed as the percent of the population in those facilities on July 1, 1978.

REGION/STATE	Number, Live Releases	Percent, Live Releases	Number, Deaths	Percent, Deaths
REGION I	623	5.4	121+	1.0+
CONNECTICUT	225	7.3	29	.9
MAINE	58	11.1	6	1.2
MASSACHUSETTS	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	68	8.6	12	1.5
RHODE ISLAND	NC	NC	NC	NC
VERMONT	57	7.5	20	2.6
REGION II	3256	12.5	435	1.7
NEW JERSEY	560	7.1	96	1.2
NEW YORK	2696	14.8	339	1.9
REGION III	3113	15.4	274	1.4
DELAWARE	94	17.5	10	1.9
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	13	1.3	16	1.5
MARYLAND	1600	45.4	37	1.0
PENNSYLVANIA	677	7.0	108	1.1
VIRGINIA	547	12.5	84	1.9
WEST VIRGINIA	182	16.7	19	1.7
REGION IV	3700	17.0	334+	1.6+
ALABAMA	94	5.1	15	.8
FLORIDA	505	10.9	NC	NC
GEORGIA	990	36.2	NC	NC
KENTUCKY	240	20.6	11	.9
MISSISSIPPI	258	14.1	45	2.5
NORTH CAROLINA	786	19.9	49	1.2
SOUTH CAROLINA	229	7.3	65	2.1
TENNESSEE	598	24.2	62	2.5
REGION V	3091 est	11.1	448+	1.6+
ILLINOIS	766	11.5	130	1.9
INDIANA	327 est	9.8	34 est	1.0
MICHIGAN	617	10.6	149	2.6
MINNESOTA	367	13.0	34	1.2
OHIO	536	7.8	101	1.5
WISCONSIN	478	21.8	NC	NC
REGION VI	1503	7.6	212+	1.0
ARKANSAS	322	15.5	16	.8
LOUISIANA	NC	NC	NC	NC
NEW MEXICO	216	36.4	2	.3
OKLAHOMA	121	6.2	20	1.0
TEXAS	598	5.0	131	1.1
REGION VII	4296	60.8	146	2.1
IOWA	205	10.2	22	1.1
KANSAS	164	12.0	35	2.6
MISSOURI	3827	135.7	74	2.6
NEBRASKA	100	11.6	15	1.7
REGION VIII	311	6.1	72+	1.4
COLORADO	142	10.0	13	.9
MONTANA	17	4.9	3	.9
NORTH DAKOTA	94	7.8	26	2.2
SOUTH DAKOTA	NC	NC	NC	NC
UTAH	31	3.6	10	1.2
WYOMING	27	5.1	15	2.8
REGION IX	938	8.5	175	1.6
ARIZONA	127	15.4	8	1.0
CALIFORNIA	693	7.2	160	1.7
HAWAII	64	13.4	6	1.3
NEVADA	54	37.8	1	.7
REGION X	499	10.6	34	.7
ALASKA	9	9.5	0	0.0
IDAHO	49	11.6	4	1.0
OREGON	137	7.6	21	1.2
WASHINGTON	304	12.8	9	.4
UNITED STATES	20845+	13.8+	2251+	1.5+

NET POPULATION CHANGE DURING YEAR

Table 16 reports the net population changes in numbers of mentally retarded people in the two types of residential facility. This number is derived as the simple subtraction of beginning-of-year populations as reported by the states from end-of-year population. The direction of the subtraction was chosen so that a net gain in population would appear with a "+" sign and a net loss during the year would have a "-" sign.

The careful user of this compilation may find instances where, for a given state, the net change reported in Table 16 is not precisely the same as would be calculated from releases and deaths minus admissions. When a state's responses seemed to show a marked discrepancy, the attempt was made to resolve it. Otherwise, the state's reports were not challenged. See discussion on pages 20 and 51.

The national trend during the year, and most state trends, were toward reduction in population in the state-operated residential facilities. Trends compared to resident population sizes are shown in Table 17. In Table 16, it is noteworthy that there was, nationwide, a reduction in the number of residents during the year.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 16

Population Changes During Year: Net change in number of mentally retarded residents in state-operated residential facilities during the year 1978-79, calculated by subtracting the population on July 1, 1978 (beginning of year) from population on June 30, 1979 (end of year); a "+" indicates an increase and a "-" indicates a net decrease of population in the facilities during the year.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	-245	-845	-1090
CONNECTICUT	-13	-17	-30
MAINE	-34	NA	-34
MASSACHUSETTS	-114	DNF	-895
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-28	-22 est	-50 est
RHODE ISLAND	-26	-25	-51
VERMONT	-30	NA	-30
REGION II	+1818	-259	+1559
NEW JERSEY	-198	NA	-198
NEW YORK	+2016	-259	+1757
REGION III	-1087	-491	-1578
DELAWARE	-19	NA	-19
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	-29	NA	-29
MARYLAND	-82	-29	-111
PENNSYLVANIA	-591	+1	-590
VIRGINIA	-117	-42	-159
WEST VIRGINIA	-249	-421	-670
REGION IV	-373	-315	-688
ALABAMA	+1	NA	+1
FLORIDA	-195	-119	-314
GEORGIA	-72	-55	-127
KENTUCKY	+17	-49	-32
MISSISSIPPI	+4	NA	+4
NORTH CAROLINA	-102	-44	-146
SOUTH CAROLINA	+7	NA	+7
TENNESSEE	-32	-48	-80
REGION V	-1254 est	-31 est	-1255 est
ILLINOIS	-118	-20	-138
INDIANA	-74 est	+10 est	-64 est
MICHIGAN	-470	NA	-470
MINNESOTA	-55	-23	-78
OHIO	-532	+52	-480
WISCONSIN	-5	-50	-55
REGION VI	-419	+124	-295
ARKANSAS	-71	-17	-88
LOUISIANA	+114	DNF	NC
NEW MEXICO	-4	-11	-15
OKLAHOMA	-24	NA	-24
TEXAS	-434	+152	-282
REGION VII	-255	-31	-286
IOWA	-70	NA	-70
KANSAS	-31	+8	-23
MISSOURI	-72	-34	-106
NEBRASKA	-82	-5	-87
REGION VIII	-203	-6 est	-209
COLORADO	-24	NA	-24
MONTANA	-14	-2	-16
NORTH DAKOTA	-69	-4	-73
SOUTH DAKOTA	-57	0 est	-57
UTAH	+13	NA	+13
WYOMING	-52	0	-52
REGION IX	-454	+41	-413
ARIZONA	-86	+41	-45
CALIFORNIA	-330	NA	-330
HAWAII	-36	NA	-36
NEVADA	-2	NA	-2
REGION X	-115	NA	-115
ALASKA	-2	NA	-2
IDAHO	-29	NA	-29
OREGON	-27	NA	-27
WASHINGTON	-57	NA	-57
UNITED STATES	-2587 est	-1813	-4400

NET POPULATION CHANGE RATIOS

Table 17 shows the net population changes in state-operated residential facilities that serve the mentally retarded, expressed as the net change in percent of the retarded resident populations at the beginning of the year.

To convert these figures to the number of net change per thousand ADR, as was reported in the first edition of this report, the divisor should be one-thousandth of the appropriate figure from Table 3 and the dividend should be chosen from Table 16.

The absolute numbers upon which these figures are based will be found in Table 16 (net changes) and Table 2 (resident on-roll as of July 1, 1978).

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 17

Change in Resident Population as Percent of Residents on 7/1/78: Net change in the number of mentally retarded people in state-operated facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979, expressed as a percent of the mentally retarded population in the facilities on July 1, 1978.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	-2.3	-76.4	-9.4
CONNECTICUT	-.4	-13.8	-1.0
MAINE	-6.6	NA	-6.6
MASSACHUSETTS	-2.4	NC	NC
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-3.9	-32.8	-6.3
RHODE ISLAND	-3.5	-18.5	-5.8
VERMONT	-4.0	NA	-4.0
REGION II	+7.4	-15.3	+6.0
NEW JERSEY	-2.5	NA	-2.5
NEW YORK	+12.2	-15.3	+9.6
REGION III	-5.9	-26.1	-7.8
DELAWARE	-3.5	NA	-3.5
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	-2.8	NA	-2.8
MARYLAND	-2.5	-9.8	-3.1
PENNSYLVANIA	-6.5	+.2	-6.1
VIRGINIA	-3.0	-10.0	-3.6
WEST VIRGINIA	-56.2	-64.8	-61.3
REGION IV	-2.0	-11.6	-3.2
ALABAMA	+.1	NA	+.1
FLORIDA	-4.6	-32.8	-6.8
GEORGIA	-4.8	-4.5	-4.6
KENTUCKY	+1.8	-22.0	-2.7
MISSISSIPPI	+.2	NA	+.2
NORTH CAROLINA	-3.0	-8.4	-3.7
SOUTH CAROLINA	+.2	NA	+.2
TENNESSEE	-1.5	-12.3	-3.2
REGION V	-5.0 est	-1.2 est	-4.6 est
ILLINOIS	-1.9	-4.2	-2.1
INDIANA	-2.6 est	+1.9 est	-1.9 est
MICHIGAN	-8.1	NA	-8.1
MINNESOTA	-2.9	-2.4	-2.8
OHIO	-8.3	+11.9	-7.0
WISCONSIN	-.2	-37.3	-2.5
REGION VI	-2.2	+23.1	-1.5
ARKANSAS	-4.0	-5.5	-4.2
LOUISIANA	+3.6	NC	NC
NEW MEXICO	-.7	-21.2	-2.5
OKLAHOMA	-1.2	NA	-1.2
TEXAS	-3.7	+86.9	-2.4
REGION VII	-3.8	-7.5	-4.0
IOWA	-3.5	NA	-3.5
KANSAS	-2.3	+36.4	-1.7
MISSOURI	-2.9	-10.2	-3.8
NEBRASKA	-10.1	-9.1	-10.1
REGION VIII	-4.0	-5.9	-4.1
COLORADO	-1.7	NA	-1.7
MONTANA	-4.3	-12.5	-4.6
NORTH DAKOTA	-6.0	-8.0	-6.1
SOUTH DAKOTA	-7.5	0.0 est	-7.3
UTAH	+1.5	NA	+1.5
WYOMING	-10.0	0.0	-9.7
REGION IX	-4.1	+102.5	-3.7
ARIZONA	-11.0	+102.5	-5.5
CALIFORNIA	-3.4	NA	-3.4
HAWAII	-7.5	NA	-7.5
NEVADA	-1.4	NA	-1.4
REGION X	-2.5	NA	-2.5
ALASKA	-2.1	NA	-2.1
IDAHO	-6.9	NA	-6.9
OREGON	-1.5	NA	-1.5
WASHINGTON	-2.4	NA	-2.4
UNITED STATES	-1.8	-16.4	-2.8

PER CAPITA RESIDENTS

Table 18 relates the size of each state's institutionalized mentally retarded population to the state's total (census) population. The general population figures are the recently available estimate made by the US Bureau of the Census.

Readers who wish to calculate similar ratios for any other data in this report may readily do so by using the general population figures given in the first numerical column of Table 18.

The ratios given are per 100,000 general population. To convert to percent, the decimal point of the ratios should be moved three places to the left. Thus, a ratio of 41.8 given in Table 18 is the same as .0418 percent, or .000418 in a true decimal fraction.

An interesting reference figure is that, in 1970, the reported number of resident patients per 100,000 general population who were in public institutions for the mentally retarded was 94.2. This may be compared with the final (United States) ratio in the last column of Table 18, 64.9. The comparable figure for 1977-78 was 68.6.

The basis for calculating this table is the average daily residents reported by the states. This figure is lower than the population on-roll at either the beginning or the end of the year by an amount that varies from state to state. Generally speaking, average daily residents reflects the number of people physically present and drawing rations in the facility on the average day of the year, whereas year-end figures include a few people who are on the rolls but not physically present in the facility.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 18

Per Capita Residents: Average daily resident population of persons with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation in state-operated residential facilities, expressed as number per 100,000 of the state's census population; ADR for year 7/1/78-6/30/79, census estimate for July 1, 1978.

REGION/STATE	State Population in Thousands	PRF/MR ADR Ratio	PRF/Other ADR Ratio	All Facilities ADR Ratio
REGION I	12211	80.0	5.5 est	85.5 est
CONNECTICUT	3084	95.7	3.7 est	99.4 est
MAINE	1081	44.0	NA	43.9
MASSACHUSETTS	5761	80.5	6.9 est	87.4 est
NEW HAMPSHIRE	867	69.2	4.8 est	94.0 est
RHODE ISLAND	931	75.1	12.9 est	88.0 est
VERMONT	487	82.5	NA	82.5
REGION II	25025	94.8	6.0	100.8
NEW JERSEY	7303	107.0	NA	107.0
NEW YORK	17922	89.8	8.5	98.3
REGION III	23936	65.0	4.3	69.3
DELAWARE	577	90.1	NA	90.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	666	132.9	NA	132.9
MARYLAND	4100	62/7	6.7 est	69.4 est
PENNSYLVANIA	11740	63.9	4.1	68.0
VIRGINIA	4994	74.1	NC	74.1+
WEST VIRGINIA	1859	19.7	15.4	35.1
REGION IV	35755	50.7	6.8+	57.4+
ALABAMA	3719	44.4	NA	44.4
FLORIDA	8499	47.6	3.6 est	51.2 est
GEORGIA	5024	29.5	24.1	53.6
KENTUCKY	3464	25.8	1.6 est	27.4 est
MISSISSIPPI	2383	77.8	NA	77.8
NORTH CAROLINA	5428	57.7	8.6 est	66.4 est
SOUTH CAROLINA	2852	104.0	NA	104.0
TENNESSEE	4336	47.7	8.4	56.1
REGION V	45118	47.8 est	4.8 est	52.6 est
ILLINOIS	11205	53.6	4.0	57.6
INDIANA	5368	39.1 est	6.7 est	45.8 est
MICHIGAN	9178	60.9	NA	60.9
MINNESOTA	4006	46.3	23.1	69.4
OHIO	10684	51.8	4.0	55.7
WISCONSIN	4677	10.9	.3	11.1
REGION VI	23027	73.8 est	2.8	76.6+
ARKANSAS	2176	62.7	13.3	76.0
LOUISIANA	3934	95.2	NC	75.2+
NEW MEXICO	1196	42.2	3.3 est	45.6 est
OKLAHOMA	2852	68.0 est	NA	68.0 est
TEXAS	12869	79.5	2.4	81.9
REGION VII	11611	49.4	3.1	52.6
IOWA	2895	46.2	NA	46.7
KANSAS	2323	58.1	1.2	59.3
MISSOURI	4840	47.1	5.9	53.1
NEBRASKA	1553	48.6	3.2	51.8
REGION VIII	6450	76.5	1.4	77.9
COLORADO	2626	53.5	NA	53.5
MONTANA	779	38.9	1.5	40.4
NORTH DAKOTA	640	183.4	7.3	190.7
SOUTH DAKOTA	683	106.3	2.9 est	109.2 est
UTAH	1302	66.0	NA	66.0
WYOMING	420	111.2	3.1 est	114.3 est
REGION IX	25837	40.4	.3	40.8
ARIZONA	2327	32.1	3.7	35.8
CALIFORNIA	22021	41.4	NA	41.4
HAWAII	838	52.6	NA	52.6
NEVADA	651	21.7	NA	21.7
REGION X	7413	61.6	NA	61.6
ALASKA	379	24.5	NA	24.5
IDAHO	872	44.7	NA	44.7
OREGON	2440	71.1	NA	71.1
WASHINGTON	3722	63.1	NA	63.1
UNITED STATES	216432	60.7 est	4.1+	64.9+

AVERAGE ON-ROLL, BEGINNING OF YEAR

Table 19 was not shown in the first edition of last year's report, nor were Tables 20, 21, and 22.

The figures in Table 19 were obtained by dividing each state's report of the number of on-roll residents at the beginning of the year (Table 2) by the number of each type of facility in the state (Table 1). The result is a measure of facility size for PRF/MRs and, in most cases, a measure of MR unit size in PRF/others.

The range in size, it will be noted, was largest for PRF/MRs. Most state averages did not depart by more than 50% from the national average of on-roll residents. The consistent difference was between the (state) average number of on-roll residents in PRF/MRs and the corresponding size of retarded populations on-roll in PRF/others in those states that served these people in both kinds of facility.

Interpretation of Table 19, as of Tables 20 and 21, should be tempered with the fact that many large PRF/MRs are administered through division into service units. This may make the number served in a PRF/MR unit more consistent with the number served in a PRF/other retardation unit for a given state.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 19

Average On-Roll Residents per Facility at Beginning of Year (7/1/78): Number of on-roll residents per average facility of each type as of the beginning of the year (July 1, 1978), obtained by dividing the appropriate number of facility residents in each state by the number of each type of facility in the state.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	420	85	306
CONNECTICUT	296	62	257
MAINE	173	NA	173
MASSACHUSETTS	601	98	349
NEW HAMPSHIRE	727	67	397
RHODE ISLAND	742	68	292
VERMONT	378	NA	378
REGION II	842	65	475
NEW JERSEY	987	NA	987
NEW YORK	787	65	388
REGION III	610	86	388
DELAWARE	537	NA	537
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1035	NA	1035
MARYLAND	359	59	252
PENNSYLVANIA	759	74	506
VIRGINIA	793	70	398
WEST VIRGINIA	222	163	182
REGION IV	576	109	375
ALABAMA	457	NA	457
FLORIDA	708	61	384
GEORGIA	758	152	273
KENTUCKY	236	74	167
MISSISSIPPI	366	NA	366
NORTH CAROLINA	854	131	493
SOUTH CAROLINA	787	NA	787
TENNESSEE	519	97	617
REGION V	573	101	402
ILLINOIS	564	53	334
INDIANA	563	107	335
MICHIGAN	486	NA	486
MINNESOTA	628	237	405
OHIO	643	109	490
WISCONSIN	686	45	366
REGION VI	601	59+	482+
ARKANSAS	296	154	260
LOUISIANA	397	NC	318+
NEW MEXICO	271	52	198
OKLAHOMA	652	NA	652
TEXAS	905	44	703
REGION VII	289	51	228
IOWA	1007	NA	1007
KANSAS	337	22	274
MISSOURI	155	67	134
NEBRASKA	808	28	260
REGION VIII	418	20	301
COLORADO	472	NA	472
MONTANA	110	8	69
NORTH DAKOTA	574	50	399
SOUTH DAKOTA	380	20	260
UTAH	850	NA	850
WYOMING	519	15	267
REGION IX	736	20	652
ARIZONA	261	20	165
CALIFORNIA	1071	NA	1071
HAWAII	478	NA	478
NEVADA	72	NA	72
REGION X	361	NA	361
ALASKA	95	NA	95
IDAHO	421	NA	421
OREGON	898	NA	898
WASHINGTON	264	NA	264
UNITED STATES	563 est	82+	397+

AVERAGE DAILY RESIDENTS PER FACILITY

The figures in Table 20 represent essentially the on-site residents in each kind of facility. Because the year-end population (Tables 19 and 21) include residents who are not physically present, this set of figures is lower than that found in the other two tables. The figures in Table 20 reflect the persons who are physically present during the average day during the year.

On the average day of the year, the average PRF/MR in the nation had 513+ residents who were physically present and "drawing rations." The average PRF/other which served mentally retarded residents had 67+ such people physically present. The average daily resident figure, together with operating expenses, allowed each state to calculate the per diem figure shown in Table 9.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 20

Average ADR per Facility during the Year (7/1/78-6/30/79): Number of on-site residents per facility during the year, obtained by dividing the state's average daily residents (ADR) by the number of each type of facility in the state. The United States calculation omits those states for which average daily resident figures for PRF/others are not available.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	390	52 est	275 est
CONNECTICUT	295	58 est	255
MAINE	158	NA	158
MASSACHUSETTS	579	50	315
NEW HAMPSHIRE	600	42 est	321
RHODE ISLAND	699	60 est	273
VERMONT	291	NA	201
REGION II	818	58	459
NEW JERSEY	977	NA	977
NEW YORK	756	58	370
REGION III	518	47+	319+
DELAWARE	520	NA	520
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	885	NA	885
MARYLAND	286	55	203
PENNSYLVANIA	625	68	420
VIRGINIA	740	DNF	336+
WEST VIRGINIA	183	72	109
REGION IV	549	97	354
ALABAMA	413	NA	413
FLORIDA	674	52 est	363 est
GEORGIA	741	151	269
KENTUCKY	224	18 est	136
MISSISSIPPI	371	NA	371
NORTH CAROLINA	791	118	454
SOUTH CAROLINA	742	NA	742
TENNESSEE	517	91	304
REGION V	491 est	87	344
ILLINOIS	546	50	323
INDIANA	420 est	72 est	246 est
MICHIGAN	466	NA	466
MINNESOTA	619	231	397
OHIO	553	106	425
WISCONSIN	170	4	87
REGION VI	531 est	70+	430+ est
ARKANSAS	227	145	207
LOUISIANA	370	DNF	296+
NEW MEXICO	253	408	182
OKLAHOMA	647 est	NA	647
TEXAS	787	76	620
REGION VII	249	46	197
IOWA	676	NA	676
KANSAS	338	28	276
MISSOURI	143	57	122
NEBRASKA	755	25	268
REGION VIII	411	18 est	296 est
COLORADO	468	NA	468
MONTANA	101	6	63
NORTH DAKOTA	587	47	407
SOUTH DAKOTA	363	20 est	249 est
UTAH	859	NA	859
WYOMING	467	13 est	240 est
REGION IX	697	43	620
ARIZONA	249	43	167
CALIFORNIA	1013	NA	1013
HAWAII	441	NA	441
NEVADA	71	NA	71
REGION X	326	NA	326
ALASKA	93	NA	93
IDAHO	390	NA	390
OREGON	867	NA	867
WASHINGTON	235	NA	235
UNITED STATES	513 est	67+	359+

AVERAGE ON-ROLL, END OF YEAR

Since this is the year-end figure, and since most states experienced a net residential population decrease during the year, the figures given here are slightly lower than those in Table 19. These comparisons assume that the states had the same number of institutions at the beginning and at the end of the year.

Table 21 indicates that the average PRF/MR in the nation had 553 (estimate) mentally retarded on-roll residents at the end of the reported year, 10 fewer than at the beginning. The average PRF/other had 68+ on-roll mentally retarded people, a reduction during the year of 14 people--again, on the possibly incorrect assumption that the number of facilities remained constant.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 21

Average On-Roll Residents per Facility at the End of the Year (6/30/79):
Number of on-roll residents per average facility of each type as of the end of the year (6/30/79), obtained by dividing the appropriate number of facility residents in each state by the number of each type of facility in the state.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	411	20+	277 est
CONNECTICUT	294	53	254
MAINE	162	NA	162
MASSACHUSETTS	587	DNF	294+
NEW HAMPSHIRE	699	45 est	372 est
RHODE ISLAND	716	55	275
VERMONT	363	NA	363
REGION II	905	55	334
NEW JERSEY	962	NA	962
NEW YORK	883	55	425
REGION III	574	63	358
DELAWARE	518	NA	518
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1006	NA	1006
MARYLAND	350	53	244
PENNSYLVANIA	709	74	475
VIRGINIA	769	63	384
WEST VIRGINIA	97	56	71
REGION IV	565	96	363
ALABAMA	457	NA	457
FLORIDA	675	41	358
GEORGIA	722	146	261
KENTUCKY	240	58	162
MISSISSIPPI	367	NA	367
NORTH CAROLINA	829	120	474
SOUTH CAROLINA	789	NA	789
TENNESSEE	511	85	298
REGION V	572	108	385
ILLINOIS	553	51	327
INDIANA	548	109	329
MICHIGAN	447	NA	447
MINNESOTA	610	231	393
OHIO	589	122	456
WISCONSIN	685	28	356
REGION VI	587	73+	475+
ARKANSAS	284	146	249
LOUISIANA	411	DNF	329+
NEW MEXICO	269	41	193
OKLAHOMA	644	NA	644
TEXAS	872	82	686
REGION VII	278	48	219
IOWA	972	NA	972
KANSAS	329	30	269
MISSOURI	151	60	129
NEBRASKA	726	25	259
REGION VIII	402	22 est	375 est
COLORADO	464	NA	464
MONTANA	105	7	66
NORTH DAKOTA	540	46	375
SOUTH DAKOTA	351	20 est	241 est
UTAH	863	NA	863
WYOMING	467	15	241
REGION IX	706	41	628
ARIZONA	233	41	156
CALIFORNIA	1035	NA	1035
HAWAII	442	NA	442
NEVADA	71	NA	71
REGION X	327	NA	327
ALASKA	93	NA	93
IDAHO	392	NA	392
OREGON	885	NA	885
WASHINGTON	232	NA	232
UNITED STATES	553 est	68+	385+

GENERAL POPULATION BASE OF FACILITIES

Table 22 was calculated by dividing the population of each state by the number of each type of facility in the state. The result is the number of general population that is drawn upon by the average facility in the state.

Nationally, there was one PRF/MR for each 845,438 people in the general population in 1978-79. There was one PRF/other for each 1,603,200 people. When both kinds of facility are combined, it will be seen that there was one state-operated residential facility for the mentally retarded for each ~~persons~~ 553,534 in the general population.

Explanation of non-numerical symbols used in the tables:

NA = Not Applicable; the category is not used in this state; may have a meaning that is equivalent to zero, except that it does not represent any quantity.

DNF = Data Not Furnished by the reporting state; evidently does not equal zero.

NC = Not Calculated because some of the data needed for calculation was not furnished.

zero = the category is used and was reported, and the quantity is zero.

est (or e) = the reporting state furnished estimated data, believed to be the most accurate available under the circumstances; or, estimated data contribute to a calculated figure.

Table 22

General Population Base of Facilities: General population of each state divided by the number of residential facilities of each type in the state.

REGION/STATE	PRF/MR	PRF/Other	All Facilities
REGION I	488,440	939,308	321,342
CONNECTICUT	308,400	1,542,000	257,000
MAINE	360,333	NA	360,333
MASSACHUSETTS	720,125	720,125	360,063
NEW HAMPSHIRE	867,000	867,000	433,500
RHODE ISLAND	931,100	465,500	310,333
VERMONT	243,500	NA	243,500
REGION II	825,379	920,615	435,200
NEW JERSEY	912,875	NA	912,875
NEW YORK	843,905	681,615	377,064
REGION III	797,867	1,088,000	460,308
DELAWARE	577,000	NA	577,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	666,000	NA	666,000
MARYLAND	455,556	820,000	292,857
PENNSYLVANIA	978,333	1,677,143	617,895
VIRGINIA	988,800	832,333	454,000
WEST VIRGINIA	929,500	464,750	309,833
REGION IV	1,051,618	1,375,192	595,917
ALABAMA	929,750	NA	929,750
FLORIDA	1,416,500	1,416,500	708,250
GEORGIA	2,512,000	628,000	502,400
KENTUCKY	866,000	1,154,667	494,857
MISSISSIPPI	476,600	NA	476,600
NORTH CAROLINA	1,369,500	1,369,500	685,750
SOUTH CAROLINA	713,000	NA	713,000
TENNESSEE	1,084,000	1,084,000	542,000
REGION V	1,025,409	1,804,720	653,884
ILLINOIS	1,018,636	1,245,000	560,250
INDIANA	1,073,600	1,073,600	536,800
MICHIGAN	764,833	NA	764,833
MINNESOTA	1,335,333	1,001,500	572,286
OHIO	1,068,400	2,671,600	763,143
WISCONSIN	1,559,000	1,559,000	779,500
REGION VI	719,593	2,558,556	561,634
ARKANSAS	362,667	1,088,000	272,000
LOUISIANA	491,750	1,967,000	393,400
NEW MEXICO	598,000	1,196,000	398,667
OKLAHOMA	950,667	NA	950,667
TEXAS	989,923	3,217,250	757,000
REGION VII	504,826	1,451,375	374,548
IOWA	1,447,500	NA	1,447,500
KANSAS	580,750	2,323,000	464,600
MISSOURI	302,500	968,000	230,476
NEBRASKA	1,553,000	776,500	517,667
REGION VIII	537,500	1,290,000	379,412
COLORADO	875,333	NA	875,333
MONTANA	259,667	389,500	155,800
NORTH DAKOTA	320,000	640,000	213,333
SOUTH DAKOTA	341,500	683,000	227,667
UTAH	1,302,000	NA	1,302,000
WYOMING	420,000	420,000	210,000
REGION IX	1,722,467	12,918,500	1,519,824
ARIZONA	775,667	1,163,500	465,400
CALIFORNIA	2,446,778	NA	2,446,778
HAWAII	838,000	NA	838,000
NEVADA	325,500	NA	325,500
REGION X	529,500	NA	529,500
ALASKA	379,000	NA	379,000
IDAHO	872,000	NA	872,000
OREGON	1,220,000	NA	1,220,000
WASHINGTON	372,200	NA	372,200
UNITED STATES	845,438	1,603,200	553.534

Notes continued from page 6

MARYLAND: Although there are known numbers in some of the state's mental hygiene facilities, there is considerable question in many areas as to the diagnosis and the reason for placement in such facilities. Currently, the facilities' clients are being tested for primary classification. Those unknown numbers of people who are developmentally disabled will be moved to PRF/MRs or community facilities or reached through internal programs. Estimates suggest less than 300 clients are primarily retarded. A contributing factor to this situation: although PRF/MR facilities contain clients classified by the AAMD definition, the mental health facilities accept anyone tested with an IQ of under 70. In most hospitals, therefore, some clients are served who have been classified as mentally retarded.

MASSACHUSETTS: The PRF/other average daily resident figure has been estimated. The Department of Mental Health surveyed seven state mental hospitals between September 1977 and June 1978. They served 1,715 clients, or about 75% of the statewide total. Of those 2,715 clients, 339 or about 12.5% had a primary diagnosis of mental retardation. The figure of 400 is estimated from these data. PRF/MR per diem is averaged from the three main facilities; costs in the regional centers are much higher because of non-residential and respite services.

MICHIGAN: The state's fiscal year is October 1 through September 30. The Department of Mental Health contracts with specialized nursing homes for approximately 1,100 beds to serve mentally retarded clients; in addition, persons in small community homes contract with it. In both cases, persons are not formally regarded as being institutionalized and thus are not represented in these data.

MISSOURI: The Regional Centers of this state, tallied in this report as PRF/MRs, function in residential intake screening; this adds substantially to high turnover figures for the diagnostic centers.

NEW YORK: This state has two conditional release categories: "community status" and "family care"; these are omitted from the PRF/MR figures as being unrepresentative of PRF/MR residents.

NEVADA: All state-operated residential facilities have been built since 1971 and are certified ICF/MR. Less than 1% of the state's total population is served residentially.

NORTH DAKOTA: The per diem represents an average cost among five care centers supporting retarded clients; each is located within a state hospital.

OREGON: The numbers for on-roll 7/1/78 and 6/30/79 exclude people on trial placement.

PENNSYLVANIA: MR units were created on the grounds of mental hospitals; those mentally retarded persons who could not be accommodated within them are not tracked by respondents since those clients are merged within mental health units.

TEXAS: PRF/other figures are not reported due to potential unreliability. The diagnostic process results in a large but unknown inflation of the numbers, since it is based only on IQ, without considering adaptive behavior. Figures are reported for September 1, 1978-June 30, 1979. A transfer of 160 beds from PRF/MR to PRF/other status during the year should be noted.

WEST VIRGINIA: Admission figures are not separated by readmission vs. first admission classification; however, the combined total was 300 admitted clients.

DISCUSSION

This is fundamentally a descriptive report, giving those few facts that are most central to an understanding of state-operated residential services to people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation. The period covered by the report is July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979. The sources of the data are the several state officials who are responsible for those services and facilities. Statistics are reported for people in facilities that are designed exclusively or primarily for the mentally retarded (PRF/MRs) and for people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who resided in state facilities that are designed primarily to serve other populations, such as the mentally ill (PRF/others).

Complete data are reported from every state and the District of Columbia on numbers of facilities and on numbers of residents (beginning, average, end of year). Nearly complete information was provided on admissions, releases, and deaths, in PRF/MRs; some estimates, believed to be the most accurate available, are included. For the other type of facility (PRF/others), housing approximately seven percent of the institutionalized mentally retarded, from one to five states were unable to report a given datum; these omissions are not thought to substantially affect the national picture.

The states operated a total of 391 of these residential facilities during 1978-79. There were 256 PRF/MRs and 135 PRF/others that served significant numbers of people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation.

The average daily residential population of mentally retarded people in these facilities was 140,407+ during 1978-79, nationally. This is .0649 percent of the general population. That is, the institutionalized proportion was 64.9 per 100,000 general population in 1978-79; this compares with a rate of 94.2 per 100,000 in 1970 (US DHEW, 1972). There has been a decline in the rate totalling 27 percent in the intervening eight years.

The residential population of the facilities dropped from 155,114 on July 1, 1978 to 150,714 on June 30, 1979. This was a reduction by 2.8 percent during the year.

Of the average daily resident population, 131,428 or 93.6 percent were served in the facilities that are exclusively or primarily designed to serve the mentally retarded. Most of the other facilities served their mentally retarded residents in separate, special units.

Turnover, as measured by admissions and releases, varied substantially among the states. The national figures were: all admissions, 13,573+; live releases, 21,406+; and deaths, 2,251. Admissions amounted to 11.2 percent of the number on roll at the beginning of the year, live releases 11.8 percent, and deaths 1.4 percent.

In comparing data from this report to data in other sources for previous years, some cautions ought to be observed. The cautions relate to the precision that is possible in such comparisons and trend analyses. None of the problems to be mentioned here will make for a very large difference.

1. The exact wording of an inquiry may make some difference in how a particular number given in response should be interpreted. In this present report, the text that accompanies each table should be consulted in order to infer the meaning of each datum; it quotes the generating questions.

2. The facilities that are here classified as "state-operated residential facilities" may comprise a group that is not exactly the same as a list of "public residential facilities" that have been the subjects of some previous surveys. While the resulting discrepancies may be slight, a comparison of those lists that are available indicates that this survey has tallied a few more facilities, not all of which are new or newly used to serve the mentally retarded.

3. In making comparisons with past years, one should take into account the possible effects of changes in definition of mental retardation, in the sophistication of state tracking or information systems, and perhaps in the improvements in differential diagnosis and in classification of people.

4. There may be some slight differences inherent to the approach of this survey, which sought to tally populations by way of state records, versus other surveys, some of which have sought tallies of residents from a list of facilities. To the extent that this makes a difference, it is likely to have operated to yield slightly higher numbers to this survey.

The compilers again wish to express their sincere appreciation for the cooperation that was given by the many people who furnished state data.

REFERENCES

1. Farber, Bernard. Mental retardation: its social context and social social consequences. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, 1968.

2. Grossman, Herbert (Ed.). Manual on terminology and classification in mental retardation. American Association on Mental Deficiency. Garamond/Pridemark Press, Baltimore, 1973.

3. Scheerenberger, Richard C. Public residential services for the mentally retarded: 1977. National Association of Superintendents of Public Residential Facilities for the Mentally Retarded, Madison, WI, 1977.

4. United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Revised 1977 and provisional 1978 estimates of the populations of the states and components of change, Series P-25, No. 799, April 1979.

5. United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Mental Retardation Coordination. Mental retardation sourcebook. Washington, September, 1972.

APPENDIX A

Survey form

1979 SURVEY OF PUBLIC RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED

Please return completed survey to:

Developmental Disabilities Project
Attn: Dr. Gordon C. Krantz
212 Pattee Hall
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455

INSTRUCTIONS: See cover letter. Please complete and return this brief survey. Do not hold it for final figures; if necessary, use estimates and mark them "est." Do not hold this one pending completion of the other survey. If you have questions, please phone us at (612) 373-5142, collect if you wish, and ask for one of us: Gordon Krantz or Jane Clumpner.

1. Number of facilities: See attached list, which gives our latest information as to the number of each kind of facility operated by your state in 1978-79. Please correct our number and list, as given here, by crossing off incorrect information and writing in the correction.

   a. *facilities, operated by the state, that are designated to be primarily residential facilities to serve the mentally retarded* . . . . . _____

   b. *facilities operated by the state as mental hospitals or as any other residential facility but which each serve at least ten residents who have a primary diagnosis of mental retardation. Do not count penal or correctional facilities.* . . . . . _____

2. Number of residents on roll at the beginning of the report year: How many mentally retarded people were residents in these kinds of facilities on July 1, 1978 (a year ago)? Include all who were carried on the facilities' rolls, even if they were on leave or otherwise off site or were on a trial placement that had lasted less than a year. We have penciled in our latest information -- please correct as necessary.

   a. *in state-operated residential facilities that are designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded.* . . . . . _____

   b. *people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were on the rolls of other state residential facilities.* . . . . . _____

3. Average daily residents: What was the average daily resident population in these facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979?

   a. *in state-operated residential facilities that are designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded* . . . . . _____

   b. *people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were served in the other state residential facilities.* . . . . . _____

4. Number of residents on roll at the end of the report year: How many mentally retarded people were residents in these kinds of facilities on June 30, 1979 (this year)? Include all who were carried on the facilities' rolls, even if they were on leave or otherwise off site or were on trial placement that had lasted less than one year.

   a. *in state-operated residential facilities that are designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded.* . . . . . _____

   b. *people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation who were on the rolls of other state residential facilities.* . . . . . _____

over, please

5. First admission: How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, and who had not previously been resident in one of your state-operated facilities of either kind, were admitted to these facilities between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979?

a. to facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded . . . . . _____

b. to other state residential facilities, people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation . . . . . _____

6. Re-admissions: How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation, and who had previously been resident in one of your state-operated facilities of either kind, were admitted to these facilities between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979? Do not include transfers among these two kinds of facility.

a. to facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded . . . . . _____

b. to other state residential facilities, people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation . . . . . _____

7. Live releases: How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation were officially released from the facilities and removed from the facilities' rolls during the period 7/1/78 to 6/30/79? Include those who had made the physical move, such as on trial placement, before 7/1/78; do not include those who had made the move but who were still carried "on the books" of a particular facility on 6/30/79. Do not include transfers among these two kinds of facility.

a. from facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded . . . . . _____

b. from other state residential facilities, people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation. . . . . . _____

8. Deaths in institutions: How many people with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation died during the period 7/1/78 to 6/30/79 while they were on the rolls of these facilities? Include those who were not physically in the facility, such as on leave or trial placement or in a community hospital, if they were on the facility's roll at the time of death.

a. in facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded . . . . . _____

b. in other state residential facilities, people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation . . . . . _____

9. Per diem: What was the per diem cost of care of residents with a primary diagnosis of mental retardation in these facilities during the year July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979? Do not subtract federal reimbursements before figuring the cost.

a. in state-operated facilities designated primarily to serve the mentally retarded . . $_____.___

b. in other state residential facilities, for people whose primary diagnosis was mental retardation . . . . . $ _____.___

Thank you for your help in completing this survey. We may phone you for verification of some of the figures.

Suggestion: first subtract the 6/30/79 on-roll figure from the figure on roll 7/1/78. Then add live releases to deaths, and subtract first admissions and re-admissions. The two answers should be essentially the same. A small difference, say 1% of the number on roll, is not serious and can be disregarded.

As was done last year, we will send you a draft of our report, perhaps in late August, before printing for distribution.

OTHER AVAILABLE REPORTS

This report is one of a series of publications resulting from two related projects funded by the US Bureau of Developmental Disabilities, national and Region V. Other publications are listed below.

CODE	PUBLICATION	COST
CH1	Thurlow, M., Bruininks, R.H., Williams, S., & Morreau, L. Deinstitutionalization and residential services; A literature survey. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1978	$2.00
CH2	Bruininks, R.H., Williams, S., & Morreau, L. Issues and problems in deinstitutionalization in HEW Region V. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1978.	$2.00
CH3	Bruininks, R.H., & Krantz, G.C. (Eds.). Family care of developmentally disabled members: Conference proceedings. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.	$3.00
WA1	Hill, B., & Bruininks, R.H. Assessment of behavioral characteristics of people who are mentally retarded. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1978.	$2.00
WA2	Thorsheim, J.H., & Bruininks, R.H. Admission and readmission of mentally retarded people to residential facilities. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1978.	$2.00
WA3	Lakin, K.C. Demographic studies of residential facilities for the mentally retarded: An historical review of methodologies and findings. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.	$2.00
WA4	Krantz, G.C., Bruininks, R.H., & Clumpner, J.L. Mentally retarded people in state-operated residential facilities : Year ending June 30, 1978. (2nd Ed.). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1978.	$2.00
WA5	Bruininks, R.H., Hauber, F.A., & Kudla, M.H. National survey of community residential facilities: A profile of facilities and residents in 1977. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.	$5.00
WA7	Clumpner, J.L., Bruininks, R.H., and Krantz, G.C. Directory of state-operated residential facilities serving mentally retarded people in 1979. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.	$2.00
WA8	Krantz, G.C., Bruininks, R.H., & Clumpner, J.L. Mentally retarded people in state-operated residential facilities: Year ending June 30, 1979. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, 1979.	$2.00
WAB1	Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment. Brief #1. Project overview. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, December, 1978.	FREE
WAB2	Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment. Brief #2. Survey completed! Community residence findings summarized. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, December, 1978.	FREE
WAB3	Developmental Disabilities Project on Residential Services and Community Adjustment. Brief #3. 1977 National summary between public and community residential findings. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Psychoeducational Studies, March, 1979.	FREE

These publications may be ordered from the Project. Orders for publications that have a cost must be prepaid; checks should be made payable to the University of Minnesota. Reports will be mailed postage paid. Address requests to:

Developmental Disabilities Project
207 Pattee Hall
University of Minnesota
150 Pillsbury Drive, S.E.
Minneapolis, MN 55455